arXiv:1612.03410v1 [math.LO] 11 Dec 2016

An abstract approach to Glivenko's theorem

Darllan Conceição Pinto *
Hugo Luiz Mariano †

Abstract

The aim of this work is to provide a special kind of conservative translation between abstract logics, namely an *abstract Glivenko's theorem*. Firstly we define institutions on the categories of logic, algebraizable logics, and Lindenbaum algebraizable logic. In the sequel, we introduce the notion os Glivenko's context relating two algebraizable logics (respectively, Lindenbaum algebraizable logics) and we prove that for each Glivenko's context can be associated an institutions morphism between the corresponding logical institutions. As a consequence of the existence of such institutions morphisms, we have established abstract versions of Glivenko's theorem between those algebraizable logics (Lindenbaum algebraizable logics), generalizing the results presented in [**Tor**]. In particular, considering the institutions of classical logic and of intuitionistic logic, we build a Glivenko's context and thus an abstract Glivenko's theorem that is exactly the traditional Glivenko's theorem. Finally we present a category of algebraizable logic with Glivenko's context as morphisms. We can interpret the results of this work as an evidence of the (virtually unexplored) relevance of institution theory in the study of propositional logic.

1 Introdution

The methods of combination of logics has been the main motivation to consider categories of logics. This allows one not just unify a choice to represent a logical system, as well as to study relations between logics. Among many kinds of possible morphisms between logics, one of most important is the "conservative translation", i.e., a relation between logics that inter-translate proves. The classical Glivenko's theorem, proved by Valery Glivenko in 1929 that says one can translate the classical logic into intuitionistic logic by means double-negation of classical formulas, is in a certain way a kind of conservative translation. This work actually concerns to establish a *abstract Glivenko's theorem* between algebraizable logics.

The logical and mathematical device that we have used here is the notion of *Institution*. This notion was introduced for the first time by Goguen and Burstall in [**GB**]. This concept formalizes the informal notion of logical system into a mathematical object. The main (model-theoretical) characteristic is that an institution contains a satisfaction relation between models and sentences that are coherent under change of notation: That motivated us to consider an institution of a logic, i.e., an institution for a propositional logic l represents all logic l' such that is *equipollent* with l ([**CG**]). We introduce, in the subsequent sections, institutions for abstract logics, algebraizable logics and Lindenbaum algebraizable logics.

Concerning the latter, we present the definition of a *Glivenko's context* between two algebraizable logics. Recalling , we prove in 4.6 (4.12) that for each Glivenko's context relating two algebraizable logics (respectively, Lindenbaum algebraizable logics), can be associated a institutions morphism between the corresponding logical institutions . Moreover, in 4.7 (4.13) we have that a Glivenko's context between institutions of algebraizable logics (Lindenbaum algebraizable logics) provides an abstract Glivenko's theorem between those logics, generalizing the results presented in [**Tor**]. In particular, considering the institutions of classical logic and of intuitionistic logic 4.8, we build a Glivenko's context and thus an abstract Glivenko's theorem such that is exactly the traditional Glivenko's theorem.

In the end of this paper we give a brief discussion about the category of algebraizable logics with Glivenko's context as its morphisms.

*Instituto de Matemática e Estatística, University of São Paulo, Brazil. Emails: darllan_math@hotmail.com, hugomar@ime.usp.br

†Research supported by FAPESP, under the Thematic Project LOGCONS: Logical consequence, reasoning and computation (number 2010/51038-0).

2 Preliminaries

The several process of combining logics were the main motivations for the systematic study of categories of logics. Here the objects are signature and consequence operator pairs, the morphisms are translations between logics.

Definition 2.1. *A signature is a sequence of pairwise disjoint sets $\Sigma = (\Sigma_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. In what follows, $X = \{x_0, x_1, ..., x_n, ...\}$ will denote a fixed enumerable set (written in a fixed order). Denote $F(\Sigma)$ (or Fm) (respectively $F(\Sigma)[n]$ or $Fm[n]$), the set of Σ-formulas over X (respec. with exactly $\{x_0, ..., x_{n-1}\}$ variables). In this sense we have that $\bigcup_{k\leq n} Fm[k]$ is the set of formulas such that its set of variable is included in $\{x_0, ..., x_n\}$.*

A Tarskian consequence relation is a relation $\vdash \subseteq \wp(F(\Sigma)) \times F(\Sigma)$, on a signature $\Sigma = (\Sigma_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, such that, for every set of formulas Γ, Δ and every formula φ, ψ of $F(\Sigma)$, it satisfies the following conditions:

- **Reflexivity** :*If $\varphi \in \Gamma,\ \Gamma \vdash \varphi$*
- **Cut** :*If $\Gamma \vdash \varphi$ and for every $\psi \in \Gamma,\ \Delta \vdash \psi$, then $\Delta \vdash \varphi$*
- **Monotonicity** :*If $\Gamma \subseteq \Delta$ and $\Gamma \vdash \varphi$, then $\Delta \vdash \varphi$*
- **Finitarity** :*If $\Gamma \vdash \varphi$, then there is a finite subset Δ of Γ such that $\Delta \vdash \varphi$.*
- **Structurality** :*If $\Gamma \vdash \varphi$ and σ is a substitution, then $\sigma[\Gamma] \vdash \sigma(\varphi)$*

The notion of logic that we consider is:

Definition 2.2. *A logic of type Σ, or a $\Sigma-$logic, is a pair $(\Sigma, \vdash)$ where Σ is a signature and $\vdash$ is a Tarskian consequence relation.*

Definition 2.3. *1. Let L be a lattice. A element $a \in L$ is compact if for every directed subset $\{d_i\}$ of L we have $a \leq \bigvee_i d_i \ \Leftrightarrow\ \exists i(a \leq d_i)$. L is said algebraic if it is complete lattice such that every element is join of compact elements. We denote the category of algebraic lattice by AL*

2. Let $l = (\Sigma, \vdash)$ be a logic and $A \in \Sigma - Str$. A subset F of A is a l-filter is for every $\Gamma \cup \{\varphi\} \subseteq F(\Sigma)$ such that $\Gamma \vdash \varphi$ and every valuation $v : F(\Sigma) \to A$, if $v[\Gamma] \subseteq F$ then $v(\varphi) \in F$. The pair $\langle M, F\rangle$ is then said to be a matrix model of l. The set of all matrix model of l is denoted by $Matr_l$.

Remark 2.4. *1. Let $l = (\Sigma, \vdash)$ be a logic. We have the map $Fi_l : \Sigma - Str \to Lat$ (where Lat is the category of lattices) such that for any algebra A, $Fi_l(A)$ is the lattice of all l-filters of A. Moreover, one can restrict the codomain to the category AL where the compact elements are finitely generated filters. Thus Fi_l is a contravariant functor from the category $\Sigma - Str$ to the category AL where given $f \in hom_{\Sigma-Str}(A, B)$, $Fi_l(f) = f^{-1}$ (inverse image).*

2. Let $\mathbf{K}$ be a quasivariety. We have the functor $Co_{\mathbf{K}} : \Sigma - Str \to AL$ such that for every algebra A, $Co_{\mathbf{K}}(A)$ is the lattice of all relative congruence of A, i.e., the lattice such that the elements are congruences θ such that $A/\theta \in \mathbf{K}$.

2.1 Categories of signatures and logics with flexible morphisms

We provide here a definition of category of logics. The ideas behind it come from [**JKE**] [**FC**], [**BCC1**], [**BCC**] and [**CG**].

First of all we define the category of signature with flexible morphism $\mathcal{S}_f$. Before to define this category, let us introduce the following notation:

If $\Sigma = (\Sigma_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a signature, then $T(\Sigma) := (F(\Sigma)[n])_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a signature too.

A **flexible** morphism $f : \Sigma \to \Sigma'$ is a sequence of functions $f^\sharp_n : \Sigma_n \to F(\Sigma')[n], n \in \omega$.

For each signature Σ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, consider the particular flexible morphism:

$$\begin{array}{rll} (j_\Sigma)_n : & \Sigma_n \to & F(\Sigma)[n] \\ & c_n \mapsto & c_n(x_0, ..., x_{n-1}) \end{array}$$

For each flexible morphism $f : \Sigma \to \Sigma'$, there is only one function $\check{f} : F(\Sigma) \to F(\Sigma')$, called the extension of f, such that:

(i) $\check{f}(x) = x$, if $x \in X$;

(ii) $\check{f}(c_n(\psi_0, ..., \psi_{n-1})) = f(c_n)(x_0, ..., x_{n-1})[x_0|\check{f}(\psi_0), ..., x_{n-1}|\check{f}(\psi_{n-1})]$, if $c_n \in \Sigma_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 2.5. *The category $\mathcal{S}_f$ is the category of signatures and flexible morphism as above. The composition in $\mathcal{S}_f$ is given by $(f' \bullet f'')^\sharp := ((\check{f} \restriction \circ f^\sharp)_n)_{n\in\omega}$. The identity id_Σ in $\mathcal{S}_f$ is given by $(id_\Sigma)^\sharp_n := ((j_\Sigma)_n)_{n\in\omega}$*

Definition 2.6. *If $l = (\Sigma, \vdash), l' = (\Sigma', \vdash')$ are logics then a flexible translation morphism $f : l \to l'$ is a flexible signature morphism $f : \Sigma \to \Sigma'$ in $\mathcal{S}_f$ such that "preserves the consequence relation", that is, for all $\Gamma \cup \{\psi\} \subseteq F(\Sigma)$, if $\Gamma \vdash \psi$ then $\check{f}[\Gamma] \vdash' \check{f}(\psi)$.*

The category $\mathcal{L}_f$ is the category of propositional logics and flexible translations as morphisms. Composition and identities are inherent from $\mathcal{S}_f$.

2.2 Other categories of logics

Due to some difficult that was found in the categories of logics mentioned above, are presented in [**MaMe**] others categories of logics that overcome these "defects".

Remark 2.7. *(I) Still on the category $\mathcal{L}_f$ we have the "congruential" {also called* selfextensional*} logics $\mathcal{L}^c_f$. This category is a subcategory of $\mathcal{L}_f$ where the logics satisfy the congruence property, i.e., logics that satisfies:*

$$\varphi_0 \dashv\vdash \psi_0, ..., \varphi_{n-1} \dashv\vdash \psi_{n-1} \Rightarrow c_n(\varphi_0, ..., \varphi_{n-1}) \dashv\vdash c_n(\psi_0, ..., \psi_{n-1}).$$

The inclusion functor $\mathcal{L}^c_f \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}_f$ has a left adjoint given by congruential closure operator $l \mapsto l^{(c)}$, i.e., given a logic $l \in \mathcal{L}_f$, l^c is the least logic with the same signature of l but the Tarkian relation is congruential.

A morphism $f : l \to l' \in \mathcal{L}_f$ is called dense*, when $\forall \varphi'_n \in F(\Sigma')[n] \;\; \exists \varphi_n \in F(\Sigma)[n]$ such that $\varphi'_n \dashv'\vdash \check{f}(\varphi_n)$. If $l' \in \mathcal{L}^c_f$, then f is dense iff $\forall c'_n \in \Sigma'_n \;\; \exists \varphi_n \in F(\Sigma)[n]$ such that $c'_n(x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1}) \dashv'\vdash \check{f}(\varphi_n)$.*

*(II) On the category $\mathcal{L}_f$, consider $Q\mathcal{L}_f$ the quotient category by the congruence relation[1]: $f, g \in \mathcal{L}_f(l, l')$, $f \sim g$ iff $\check{f}(\varphi) \dashv'\vdash \check{g}(\varphi)$. Thus, by Proposition 4.3 in [**CG**], two logics l, l' are equipollent if only if l and l' are $Q\mathcal{L}_f-$isomorphic. All presentation of classical logic are $Q\mathcal{L}_f-$isomorphic.*

*(III) In [**MaMe**] we found the category $Q\mathcal{L}^c_f$ quotient of $\mathcal{L}^c_f$ (or simply $\mathcal{Q}^c_f$).*

For $h \in \mathcal{L}^c_f(l, l')$, $[h] \in \mathcal{Q}^c_f(l, l')$ is $\mathcal{Q}^c_f$-isomorphism iff h is a dense morphism and h is a conservative translation[2].

This category of logics satisfies simultaneously *certain natural conditions:*
(a) *it is canonically related to the major part of logical systems;*
(b) *has good categorial properties (e.g., it is complete, cocomplete and accessible categories);*
(c) *allow a natural notion of algebraizable logical system ([**BP**],[**Cze**]);*
(d) *allow satisfactory treatment of the "identity problem" of logics.*

2.3 Categories of algebrizable logics

Traditionally algebraic logic has focused on the algebraic investigation of particular classes of algebras related, in some way, to logics, whether or not they could be connected to some known assertional system by means of the Lindenbaum-Tarski method. However, when such a connection could be established, there was interest in investigating the relationship between various meta-logical properties of the logical system and the algebraic properties of the associated class of algebras.

The Lindenbaum-Tarski method of algebrization of a logic, associate with the logic a convenient quotient of the formula algebra of the logic, by the congruence relation of interprovability: this idea works in classical logic and in some systems of intuitionistic and modal logics. However this method cannot algebraize other logics. Thus in the end of the 1980's, Blok-Pigozzi ([**BP**]) provide a general definition that, in some sense, encompass the traditional method.

Henceforth "algebraizable logic" will mean "algebraizable logic in the Blok-Pigozzi sense".

[1] I.e., this category has the same class of objects that $\mathcal{L}_f$, and an arrow between $l \to l'$ the logics is an equivalence class of $\mathcal{L}_f$-arrows $f : l \to l'$.

[2] I.e., $\Gamma \vdash \psi \;\Leftrightarrow\; \check{h}[\Gamma] \vdash' \check{h}(\psi)$, for all $\Gamma \cup \{\psi\} \subseteq F(\Sigma)$.

Definition 2.8. *Let Σ be a signature. We will denote by $\Sigma-Str$ the category with objects given by all the structures (or algebras) on the signature Σ and morphisms Σ-homomorphisms between them. A fundamental example of Σ-structure is $F(\Sigma)$, the absolutely free Σ-algebra on the set of variables X.*

Definition 2.9. *Given a class of algebras $\boldsymbol{K}$ over the signature Σ, the equational consequence associated with $\boldsymbol{K}$ is the relation $\models_{\boldsymbol{K}}$ between a set of equations Γ and a single equation $\varphi \equiv \psi$ over Σ defined by:*

$$\Gamma \models_{\boldsymbol{K}} \varphi \equiv \psi \text{ iff } \textit{for every } A \in \boldsymbol{K} \textit{ and every } \Sigma - \textit{homomorphism } h : F(\Sigma) \to A,$$

$$\textit{if } h(\eta) = h(\nu) \textit{ for all } \eta \equiv \nu \in \Gamma, \textit{ then } h(\varphi) = h(\psi).$$

Definition 2.10. *Let $l = (\Sigma, \vdash)$ be a logic and $\boldsymbol{K}$ be a class of $\Sigma-$algebra. $\boldsymbol{K}$ is a equivalent algebraic semantics for l if $\vdash$ can be faithfully interpreted in $\models_{\boldsymbol{K}}$ in the following sense:*

(1) there is a finite set $\tau(p) = \{(\delta_i(p), \epsilon_i(p)), i = 1, ..., n\}$ of equations in a single variable p such that for all $\Gamma \cup \{\varphi\} \subseteq F(\Sigma)$ and for $j < n$ has been:

$\Gamma \vdash \varphi \Leftrightarrow \{\tau(\gamma) : \gamma \in \Gamma\} \models_{\boldsymbol{K}} \tau(\varphi)$ *where* $\tau(\varphi) = \{(\delta_i(p)[p/\varphi], \epsilon_i(p)[p/\varphi]), i = 1, ..., n\}$.

(2) there is a finite system $\Delta_j(p, q), j = 1, ..., m$ of two variables formulas (formed by derived binary connectives) such that for all equation $\varphi \equiv \psi$,
$\varphi \equiv \psi =|_{\boldsymbol{K}}\models \tau(\varphi \Delta \psi)$
where $\varphi\Delta\psi = \Delta(\varphi, \psi)$, $\Delta(\varphi, \psi) = \{\Delta_j(\varphi, \psi), j = 1, ..., m\}$ and $\tau(\varphi\Delta\psi) = \{\delta_i(\Delta_j(\varphi, \psi)) \equiv \epsilon_i(\Delta_j(\varphi, \psi));\ i = 1, ..., n$ and $j = 1, ..., m\}$.

In this case we shall say that a logic l is algebraizable. The set $\langle \tau(p), \Delta(p, q)\rangle$ (or just $\langle \tau, \Delta\rangle$) is called an "algebraizing pair", with $\tau = (\delta, \epsilon)$ as the "defining equations" and Δ as the "equivalence formulas".

Proposition 2.11. *Let $\mathbf{K}$ an equivalent algebraic semantic for the algebrizable logic $a = (\Sigma, \vdash)$ with algebraizing pair $\langle \tau, \Delta\rangle$, then:*

1. *For all set of equations Γ and for all equation $\varphi \equiv \psi$, we have that*

$$\Gamma \models_{\mathbf{K}} \varphi \equiv \psi \ \Leftrightarrow\ \{\xi \Delta \eta : \xi \equiv \eta \in \Gamma\} \vdash \varphi \Delta \psi$$

2. *For each $\psi \in F(\Sigma)$ we have that*

$$\psi \dashv\vdash \Delta(\tau(\psi)).$$

Conversely, if there is a logic $a = (\Sigma, \vdash)$ and formulas $\langle \Delta(p, q), \tau(p)\rangle$ that satisfy the conditions 1. and 2., then $\mathbf{K}$ is an equivalent algebraic semantics for a.

Remark 2.12. *By a direct application of the definition above, if $l = (\Sigma, \vdash)$ is an algebraizable logic and $\phi, \psi \in F(\Sigma)$, then $\phi, \phi\Delta\psi \vdash \psi$ (detachment property).*

As examples of algebraizable logics we have, in addition to CPC (Classic Propositional Calculus) and IPC (Intuitionistic Propositional Calculus), some modal logics, the Post and Lukasiewicz multi-valued logics, and many of several versions of quantum logic.

In case of CPC (respectively IPC), a possible algebraizing pair $\langle \Delta(p, q), \tau(p)\rangle = \langle \Delta(p, q), (\delta(p), \epsilon(p))\rangle$ is:

1. $\Delta(p, q) = \{p \leftrightarrow q\}$
2. $\epsilon(p) = p$
3. $\delta(p) = \top$

and $\mathbf{K}$ is the class of Boolean algebras (respectively the class of Heyting algebras).

Recall that a quasivariety is a class of algebras K such that it is axiomatizable by quasi-identities, i.e., formulas of the form

$$(p_1 \equiv q_1 \wedge ... \wedge p_n \equiv q_n) \to p \equiv q \textit{ for } n \geq 1$$

when $n = 0$ the quasi-identity is

$$\top \to p \equiv q.^3$$

Now we will recall a result about "uniqueness" of algebraizing pair and the quasivariety semantics of an algebraizable logic. For any class K of Σ-algebras let us denote $(K)^Q$ the Σ-quasivariety generated by K.

Proposition 2.13 (2.15-[**BP**]). *Let a be an algebraizable logic.*

(a) Let $\langle(\delta_i(p), \varepsilon_i(p)), \Delta_i(p, q)\rangle$, an algebraizing pair for a, and K_i an equivalent algebraic semantic associated with a, for each $i \in \{0, 1\}$. Then $(K_0)^Q, (K_1)^Q$ are equivalent algebraic semantics for a. Moreover, some uniqueness conditions hold:

- *on quasivariety semantics: $(K_0)^Q = (K_1)^Q$;*
- *on equivalence formulas: $\Delta_0(p, q) \dashv\vdash \Delta_1(p, q)$;*
- *on defining equations: $(\delta_0(p) \equiv \varepsilon_0(p)) \ =|_K\models\ (\delta_1(p) \equiv \varepsilon_1(p))$ (where $K := (K_0)^Q = (K_1)^Q$).*

(b) Let $\langle(\delta_i(p), \varepsilon_i(p)), \Delta_i(p, q)\rangle$. Suppose that the following conditions holds:

- *$(\delta_0(p), \varepsilon_0(p)), \Delta_0(p, q)\rangle$ is an algebraizing pair for a;*
- *$\Delta_0(p, q) \dashv\vdash \Delta_1(p, q)$;*
- *$(\delta_0(p) \equiv \varepsilon_0(p)) \ =|_{(K_0)^Q}\models\ (\delta_1(p) \equiv \varepsilon_1(p))$.*

Then $\langle(\delta_1(p), \varepsilon_1(p)), \Delta_1(p, q)\rangle$is an algebraizing pair for a and $(K_1)^Q = (K_0)^Q$.

If $a = (\Sigma, \vdash)$ is an algebraizable logic then, by the Proposition above, we can (and we will) denote by $QV(a)$ the unique quasivariety on the signature Σ that is an equivalent algebraic semantics for a.

Proposition 2.14 (2.17 [**BP**]). *Let a be an algebraizable logic a and $\langle(\delta, \epsilon), \Delta\rangle$ be an algebraizing pair for a. Then the quasivariety $QV(a)$ is axiomatized by the set given by the 3 kinds of quasi-equations below:*

- *$\delta(x_0 \Delta x_0) \equiv \epsilon(x_0 \Delta x_0)$;*
- *$\delta(x_0 \Delta x_1) \equiv \epsilon(x_0 \Delta x_1) \ \to\ x_0 \equiv x_1$;*
- *$(\bigwedge_{i<n} \delta(\psi_i) \equiv \epsilon(\psi_i)) \ \to\ \delta(\phi) \equiv \epsilon(\phi)$, for each $\{\phi, \psi_0, \cdots, \psi_{n-1}\} \subseteq F(\Sigma)$ such that $\{\psi_0, \cdots, \psi_{n-1}\} \vdash \phi$, for $n \geq 0$.*

An attempt to determine if a given logic is algebraizable, at times found difficulties about the definition given above. Thus we have the following characterization.

Proposition 2.15 (4.7-[**BP**]). *Let $a = (\Sigma, \vdash)$ be a logic and $\Delta \subseteq_{fin} F(\Sigma)[2]$, $(\delta \equiv \epsilon) \subseteq_{fin} (F(\Sigma)[1] \times F(\Sigma)[1])$ such that the conditions below are satisfied*

(a) $\vdash \varphi \Delta \varphi$, for all $\varphi \in F(\Sigma)$;

(b) $\varphi \Delta \psi \vdash \psi \Delta \varphi$, for all $\varphi, \psi \in F(\Sigma)$;

(c) $\varphi \Delta \psi, \psi \Delta \vartheta \vdash \varphi \Delta \vartheta$, for all $\varphi, \psi, \vartheta \in F(\Sigma)$;

(d) $\varphi_0 \Delta \psi_0, ..., \varphi_{n-1} \Delta \psi_{n-1} \vdash c_n(\varphi_0, ..., \varphi_{n-1}) \Delta c_n(\psi_0, ..., \psi_{n-1})$, for all $c_n \in \Sigma_n$ and all $\varphi_0, \psi_0, ..., \varphi_{n-1}, \psi_{n-1} \in F(\Sigma)$;

(e) $\vartheta \dashv\vdash \Delta(\tau(\vartheta))$, for all $\vartheta \in F(\Sigma)$.

Then a is an algebraizable logic with Δ as equivalence formulas and τ as defining equations.

Conversely if $a = (\Sigma, \vdash)$ is a algebrizable logics with algebraizing pair $\langle\Delta(p, q), \tau(p)\rangle$, then the conditions (a) to (e) are satisfied for these formulas.

Remark 2.16. *It follows from the characterization above that, if $\vdash_0, \vdash_1$ are consequence operators over the same signature Σ, if $l_0 = (\Sigma, \vdash_0)$ is an algebraizable logic with algebraizing pair $\langle\Delta(p, q), \tau(p)\rangle$ and $\vdash_0 \leq \vdash_1$ (for any $\Gamma \cup \{\varphi\}$,if $\Gamma \vdash_0 \varphi$ then $\Gamma \vdash_1 \varphi$), then $l_1 = (\Sigma, \vdash_1)$ is an algebraizable logic and $\langle\Delta(p, q), \tau(p)\rangle$ is an algebraizing pair.*

Definition 2.17. *Let Σ be a signature, A be a Σ-algebra and $F \subseteq A$.*

(a) Let θ be a congruence in A. θ is said to be compatible with F if, for all $a, b \in A$, if $a \in F$ and $\langle a, b\rangle \in \theta$ then $b \in F$. Given an algebra A and a subset F of its domain there always exists a greatest congruence of A compatible with F. To prove this it is enough to show that the supremum of the set of congruences of A compatible with F in the complete lattice of congruences of A is a congruence compatible with F (Applying the Zorn's lemma in the set of all compatible congruence?).

(b) We will denote by $\Omega^A(F)$ the largest congruence of A compatible with F. We say that the function Ω^A with domain the set of all subsets of A is called the Leibiniz operator on A.

[3] That is equivalent to the equation $p \equiv q$.

With the definition of categories of logics given above, it is possible to define the category of algebraizable logics: its morphisms are the translations of algebraizable logics that preserves algebraizing pairs (note that, by Fact 2.13, this does not depend on particular choice of algebraizing pair of the source and target logics). Other categories of algebraizable logics can be found in [**JKE**], [**FC**].

- $\mathcal{A}_f$ is the category of algebraizable logics with morphisms in $\mathcal{L}_f$ such that preserves algebraizing pair. $\mathcal{A}_f$ is a (non full) subcategory of $\mathcal{L}_f$, $\mathcal{A}_f \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}_f$.
- Besides the category $\mathcal{A}_f$, we consider also the following categories:
  - $\mathcal{A}_f^c := \mathcal{A}_f \cap \mathcal{L}_f^c$, the (sub)category of algebraizable and congruential logics;
  - $Q\mathcal{A}_f$, the quotient category of $\mathcal{A}_f$ by the congruence determined by interdemonstrability relation ($\dashv\vdash$);
  - $Q\mathcal{A}_f^c$, the quotient category of $\mathcal{A}_f^c$.
- The "Lindenbaum algebraizable" logics are logics $l \in \mathcal{A}$ such that given formulas $\varphi, \psi \in F(\Sigma)$, $\varphi \dashv\vdash \psi \Leftrightarrow \vdash \varphi\Delta\psi$ (note this does not depend on the particular choice of Δ; the implication $\Leftarrow$ always hold, by 2.12). The class of Lindenbaum algebraizable logics determines a full subcategory of the category of algebraizable logics ($j : Lind(\mathcal{A}_f) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_f$). The category $Lind(\mathcal{A}_f)$ plays a relevant role in the representation theory of logics ([**MaPi1**], [**MaPi2**]). The inclusion functor $Lind(\mathcal{A}_f) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_f$ has a left adjoint functor $L : \mathcal{A}_f \to Lind(\mathcal{A}_f)$. In [**MaPi2**] is proven that $Lind(\mathcal{A}_f) = \mathcal{A}_f^c$.

Remark 2.18. *Let $l = (\Sigma, \vdash), l' = (\Sigma', \vdash')$. Given a morphism $h \in hom_{\mathcal{L}_f}(l, l')$ we have a functor $h^\star : \Sigma'-str \to \Sigma-str$ defined by:*

*given $M \in \Sigma' - str$,$h^\star(M)$ has the same universe of M and $c_n^{h^\star(M)} = h(c_n)^M$ for every $c_n \in \Sigma_n$ and every $n \in \omega$. Now given $f \in hom_{\Sigma-str}(M, N)$, $h^\star(f) := f$ is a morphism between $h^\star(M)$ and $h^\star(N)$. This functor commutes over Set, i.e., commute with the forgetful functors. More detail about that can be found in [**MaPi2**]*

2.4 Institutions and their morphisms

The notion of *Institution* was introduced for the first time by Goguen and Burstall in [**GB**]. This concept formalizes the informal notion of logical system into a mathematical object. The main (model-theoretical) characteristic is that an institution contains a satisfaction relation between models and sentences that are coherent under change of notation: That motivated us to consider an institution of a logic, i.e., an institution for a propositional logic l represents all logic l' such that is *equipollent* with l ([**CG**]).

We start giving the definition of institution with its notion of morphisms (and comorphisms), and consequently its category.

Definition 2.19. *An Institution $I = (\mathbb{S}ig, Sen, Mod, \models)$ consists of*



1. *a category $\mathbb{S}ig$, whose the objects are called* signature*,*
2. *a functor $Sen : \mathbb{S}ig \to \mathbb{S}et$, for each signature a set whose elements are called* sentence *over the signature*
3. *a functor $Mod : (\mathbb{S}ig)^{op} \to \mathbb{C}at$, for each signature a category whose the objects are called* model*,*
4. *a relation $\models_\Sigma \subseteq |Mod(\Sigma)| \times Sen(\Sigma)$ for each $\Sigma \in |\mathbb{S}ig|$, called* Σ-satisfaction*, such that for each morphism $h : \Sigma \to \Sigma'$, the compatibility condition*

$$M' \models_{\Sigma'} Sen(h)(\phi) \text{ if and only if } Mod(h)(M') \models_\Sigma \phi$$

holds for each $M' \in |Mod(\Sigma')|$ and $\phi \in Sen(\Sigma)$

Example 2.20. *Let Lang denote the category of languages $L = ((F_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}, (R_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}})$, – where F_n is a set of symbols of n-ary function symbols and R_n is a set of symbols of n-ary relation symbols, $n \geq 0$ – and language morphisms[4]. For each pair of cardinals $\aleph_0 \leq \kappa, \lambda \leq \infty$, the category Lang endowed with the usual notion of $L_{\kappa,\lambda}$-sentences (= $L_{\kappa,\lambda}$-formulas with no free variable), with the usual association of category of structures and with the usual (tarskian) notion of satisfaction, gives rise to an institution $I(\kappa, \lambda)$.*

Definition 2.21. *Let I and I' be institutions.*

(a) An Institution **morphism** *$h = (\Phi, \alpha, \beta) : I \to I'$ consists of*



1. *a functor $\Phi : \mathbb{S}ig \to \mathbb{S}ig'$*
2. *a natural transformation $\alpha : Sen' \circ \Phi \Rightarrow Sen$*
3. *a natural transformation $\beta : Mod \Rightarrow Mod' \circ \Phi^{op}$*

such that the following compatibility condition *holds:*

$$m \models_\Sigma \alpha_\Sigma(\varphi') \quad iff \quad \beta_\Sigma(m) \models'_{\Phi(\Sigma)} \varphi'$$

For any $\Sigma \in \mathbb{S}ig$, any Σ-model m and any $\Phi(\Sigma)$-sentence φ'.

(b) A triple $f = \langle \phi, \alpha, \beta \rangle : I \to I'$ is a **comorphism** *between the given institutions if the following conditions hold:*

- *$\phi : \mathbb{S}ig \to \mathbb{S}ig'$ is a functor.*
- *$\alpha : Sen \Rightarrow Sen' \circ \phi$ and $\beta : Mod' \circ \phi^{op} \Rightarrow Mod$ are natural transformations such that satisfy:*

$$m' \models'_{\phi(\Sigma)} \alpha_\Sigma(\varphi) \; iff \; \beta_\Sigma(m') \models_\Sigma \varphi$$

For any $\Sigma \in \mathbb{S}ig$, $m' \in Mod'(\phi(\Sigma))$ and $\varphi \in Sen(\Sigma)$.

Example 2.22. *Given two pairs of cardinals (κ_i, λ_i), with $\aleph_0 \leq \kappa_i, \lambda_i \leq \infty$, $i = 0, 1$, such that $\kappa_0 \leq \kappa_1$ and $\lambda_0 \leq \lambda_1$, then it is induced a morphism and a comorphism of institutions $(\Phi, \alpha, \beta) : I(\kappa_0, \lambda_0) \to I(\kappa_1, \lambda_1)$, given by the* same data*: $\mathbb{S}ig_0 = Lang = \mathbb{S}ig_1$, $Mod_0 = Mod_1 : (Lang)^{op} \to \mathbb{C}at$, $Sen_i = L_{\kappa_i,\lambda_i}$, $i = 0, 1$, $\Phi = Id_{Lang} : \mathbb{S}ig_0 \to \mathbb{S}ig_1$, $\beta := Id : Mod_i \Rightarrow Mod_{1-i}$, $\alpha := inclusion : Sen_0 \Rightarrow Sen_1$.*

3 Institutions for abstract propositional logics

In the first subsection of this section, we provide a *institution* for a category of propositional logics. That is naturally interesting because the theory of institutions was firstly used by computer scientist for first order logic.

However, the main motivation for the use of institution theory in this work is because it relates the sentences and models of a logic *independently of its presentations*, retaining only its "essence". More precisely, in the second subsection, we are going to define institutions for each (equivalence class of) algebraizable logic and Lindenbaum algebraizable logic: this will enable us to apply notions and results from institutions to study meta-logic properties of a (equivalence class of) well-behaved logic, as we will exemplify in the next section.

[4]That can be chosen "strict" (i.e., $F_n \mapsto F'_n$, $R_n \mapsto R'_n$) or chosen be "flexible" (i.e., $F_n \mapsto \{n - ary - terms(L')\}$, $R_n \mapsto \{n - ary - atomic - formulas(L')\}$).

3.1 An institution for the abstract propositional logics

From to the category of logics $\mathcal{L}_f$, we define:

• $\mathbb{S}ig := \mathcal{L}_f$, the category of propositional logics $l = (\Sigma, \vdash)$ and flexible morphisms.

• $Sen : \mathbb{S}ig \to \mathbb{S}et$ where $Sen(l) = \mathcal{P}(F(\Sigma)) \times F(\Sigma)$ and given $f \in Mor_{\mathbb{S}ig}(l_1, l_2)$ then $Sen(f) : Sen(l_1) \to Sen(l_2)$ is such that $Sen(f)(\langle \Gamma, \varphi \rangle) = \langle \check{f}[\Gamma], \check{f}(\varphi) \rangle$. It is easy to see that Sen is a functor.

• $Mod : \mathbb{S}ig \to \mathbb{C}at^{op}$ where $Mod(l) = Matr_l$ and given $f \in Mor_{\mathbb{S}ig}(l_1, l_2)$, $Mod(f) : Matr_{l_2} \to Matr_{l_1}$ such that $Mod(f)(\langle M, F \rangle) = \langle f^\star(M), F \rangle$. $Mod(f)$ is well defined, indeed:

It is enough to prove that given $\langle M, F \rangle \in Matr_{l_2}$, then F is a l_1-filter in $f^\star(M)$. Let $\Gamma \cup \{\varphi\} \subseteq F(\Sigma_1)$ such that $\Gamma \vdash_1 \varphi$. Let $v : F(\Sigma_1) \to f^\star(M)$ and suppose that $v[\Gamma] \subseteq F$. We define $\bar{v} : F(\Sigma_2) \to M$ where $\bar{v}(x) = v(x)$ for all variable x and $\bar{v}(c_n(\psi_0, ..., \psi_{n-1})) = c_n^M(\bar{v}(\psi_0), ..., \bar{v}(\psi_{n-1}))$ for all formula $\varphi = c_n(\psi_0, ..., \psi_{n-1})$ where c_n is a n-ary connective. As we saw in the Chapter 2, the function $\check{f} : F(\Sigma_1) \to f^\star(F(\Sigma_2))$ is a morphism in $\Sigma_1 - Str$. Therefore the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(\Sigma_1) & \xrightarrow{v} & f^\star(M) \\ {\scriptstyle \check{f}}\downarrow & \nearrow_{\bar{v}} & \\ f^\star(F(\Sigma_2)) & & \end{array}$$

This follows directly from results in [**MaPi1**], since $\check{f} = \eta_{f^\star}(X) : F(\Sigma_1)(X) \to f^\star(F(\Sigma_2)(X))$ is the unity of the adjunction between $\Sigma_1 - Str$ and $\Sigma_2 - Str$, described in Chapter 2. Anyway, we provide here a more explicit proof: For any variable x we have that $\bar{v} \circ \check{f}(x) = v(x)$. Now suppose that for a formula $c_n(\psi_0, ..., \psi_{n-1})$ we have $\bar{v} \circ \check{f}(\psi_i) = v(\psi_i)$ with $i \in \{0, ..., n-1\}$ then

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \bar{v} \circ \check{f}(c_n(\psi_0, ..., \psi_{n-1})) & = & \bar{v}(f(c_n)(\check{f}(\psi_0), ..., \check{f}(\psi_{n-1}))) \\ & = & f(c_n)^M(\bar{v} \circ \check{f}(\psi_0), ..., \bar{v} \circ \check{f}(\psi_{n-1})) \\ & = & c_n^{f^\star(M)}(\psi_0), ..., \bar{v} \circ \check{f}(\psi_{n-1})) \\ & = & c_n^{f^\star(M)}(v(\psi_0), ..., v(\psi_{n-1})) \\ & = & v(c_n(\psi_0, ..., \psi_{n-1})) \end{array}$$

Since $v[\Gamma] \subseteq F$ we have $\bar{v} \circ \check{f}[\Gamma] \subseteq F$. f is a morphism between logics, so $\check{f}[\Gamma] \vdash_2 \check{f}(\varphi)$. Since $\langle M, F \rangle \in Matr_2$ therefore $\bar{v} \circ \check{f}(\varphi) \in F$. Hence F is a filter of l_1.

• Given $l \in \mathbb{S}ig$ We define a relation $\models \subseteq |Mod(l)| \times Matr_l$ as:

Given $\langle M, F \rangle \in Mod(l)$ and $\langle \Gamma, \varphi \rangle \in Sen(l)$,

$$\langle M, F \rangle \models_l \langle \Gamma, \varphi \rangle \; iff \; for \; all \; v : F(\Sigma_l) \to M, \; if \; v[\Gamma] \subseteq F, \; then \; v(\varphi) \in F.$$

Now we prove that $\models$ satisfies the compatibility condition. Let $f : l \to l'$ be a morphism in $\mathbb{S}ig$, $\langle M', F' \rangle \in Mod(l')$ and $\langle \Gamma, \varphi \rangle \in Sen(l)$.

The universal property of $\check{f}$ defines a bijection:

$$v' \in \Sigma' - Str(F(\Sigma')(X), M') \leftrightsquigarrow v \in \Sigma - Str(F(\Sigma)(X), f^\star(M'))$$

such that the diagram of functions below commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f^\star(F(\Sigma')) & \xrightarrow{v'} & f^\star(M') \\ {\scriptstyle \check{f}}\uparrow & \nearrow_{v} & \\ F(\Sigma) & & \end{array}$$

Thus

$$\begin{array}{lll} \langle f^\star(M'), F'\rangle \models_l \langle \Gamma, \varphi\rangle & iff & for\ all\ v : F(\Sigma) \to f^\star(M'),\ if\ v[\Gamma] \subseteq F',\ then\ v(\varphi) \in F' \\ & iff & for\ all\ v' : F(\Sigma') \to M',\ if\ v'[\check{f}[\Gamma]] \subseteq F',\ then\ v'(\check{f}(\varphi)) \in F' \\ & iff & \langle M', F'\rangle \models_{l'} \langle \check{f}[\Gamma], \check{f}(\varphi)\end{array}$$

Definition 3.1. *We denote by $I_f = \langle \mathbb{S}ig, Sen, Mod, \models\rangle$ the above defined institution of abstract propositional logics associated with $\mathcal{L}_f$.*

3.2 (Lindenbaum) algebraizable logics as institutions

In this section we define institutions for each (equivalence class of) algebraizable logic and Lindenbaum algebraizable logic: this will enable us to apply notions and results from institutions to study meta-logic properties of a (equivalence class of) well-behaved logic, as we will exemplify in the next section.

3.2.1 The institution of an algebraizable logic

Let $a = (\Sigma, \vdash)$ any algebraizable logic and Δ any of its a set of equivalence formulas. Given $\varphi \in F(\Sigma)$, consider φ/Δ the class of formulas ψ of a such that $\vdash \varphi\Delta\psi$ (this does not depend on the particular choice of Δ). If $\Gamma \subseteq F(\Sigma)$, still denote $\Gamma/\Delta := \{\varphi/\Delta;\ \varphi \in \Gamma\}$. Recall that $\overline{\mathcal{A}_f}$ denotes the quotient category of $\mathcal{A}_f$ by the congruence relation given by $f, f' : a_1 \to a_2,\ \ f \equiv f'$ iff for each $\varphi_1 \in F(\Sigma_1)$, $\vdash_2 \check{f}(\varphi_1)\Delta_2\check{f'}(\varphi_1)$, where Δ_2 is any equivalence formulas for a_2 (see Chapter 1, section 3).

Now fix a an algebraizable logic. Consider:

• $\mathbb{S}ig_a$ is the category whose objects are the algebraizable logics isomorphic to a in $\overline{\mathcal{A}_f}$ and the morphisms in $\mathbb{S}ig_a$ are the isomorphisms in $\overline{\mathcal{A}_f}$ (i.e., the equivalence class of$\mathcal{A}_f$-morphisms $f : a_1 \to a_2$ is such that there exists a $\mathcal{A}_f$-morphism $g : a_2 \to a_1$ such that $\vdash_1 \check{g} \circ \check{f}(\varphi_1)\Delta_2\varphi_1$ and $\vdash_2 \check{f} \circ \check{g}(\psi_2)\Delta_2\psi_2$, for each $\varphi_1 \in F(\Sigma_1), \psi_2 \in F(\Sigma_2)$).

• $Sen_a : \mathbb{S}ig_a \to \mathbb{S}et$ such that $Sen_a(a_1) = \mathcal{P}_{fin}(F(\Sigma_1)/\Delta_1) \times F(\Sigma_1)/\Delta_1$ and given $[h] : a_1 \to a_2$, $sen_a([h])(\langle \Gamma/\Delta_1, \varphi/\Delta_1\rangle) = \langle \check{h}[\Gamma]/\Delta_2, \check{h}(\varphi)/\Delta_2\rangle$. This is well defined because, if $h \equiv h'$, then for any $\varphi, \varphi' \in F(\Sigma_1)$, if $\varphi/\Delta = \varphi'/\Delta$ then $\vdash_1 \varphi\Delta_1\varphi'$ and since h, h' are represent the same morphism in $\overline{\mathcal{A}_f}$ we have that $\vdash_2 \check{h}(\varphi)\Delta_2\check{h'}(\varphi')$.

• $Mod_a : \mathbb{S}ig_a \to \mathbb{C}at^{op}$ is such that $Mod_a(a') := Matr^*_{a'}$ and given $[f] : a_1 \to a_2$ we define $Mod_a([f]) : Matr^*_{a_2} \to Matr^*_{a_1}$ where $Mod_a([f])(\langle M, F\rangle) := \langle f^\star M, F\rangle$, this does not depend on the particular representation of $[f]$. We must prove that Mod is well defined, i.e. that $\langle f^\star M, F\rangle$ is a reduced matrix. We saw in the previous subsection that F is a a_1-filter for $f^\star M$ thus, firstly, we prove that $\Omega^{f^\star M}(F)$ is a congruence in M. Let $(a_i, b_i) \in \Omega^{f^\star M}(F)$ such that $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ and c_n a n-ary connective in a_2; denote $c_n(\vec{x}) := c_n(x_0, \cdots, x_{n-1})$. As $[f]$ is a morphism in $\mathbb{S}ig_a$, then there exists $g : a_2 \to a_1 \in \mathcal{A}_f$ such that $\vdash_2 \check{f} \circ \check{g}(c_n(\vec{x}))\Delta_2 c_n(\vec{x})$. Since a_2 is algebraizable logic, we have that $\models_{QV(a_2)} \check{f} \circ g(c_n) \approx c_n(\vec{x})$. As $\langle M, F\rangle \in Matr^*_{a_2}$, then $M \in QV(a_2)$. Hence $g(c_n)^{f^\star M} = \check{f}(g(c_n))^M = c_n^M$. We know that $\Omega^{f^\star M}(F)$ is a congruence in $f^\star M$, thus $(c_n^M(a_0, ..., a_{n-1}), c_n^M(b_0, ..., b_{n-1})) = (g(c_n)^{f^\star M}(a_0, ..., a_{n-1}), g(c_n)^{f^\star M}(b_0, ..., b_{n-1})) \in \Omega^{f^\star M}(F)$. Therefore $\Omega^{f^\star M}(F)$ is a congruence on M. Moreover, it is compatible with F. Hence $\Omega^{f^\star M}(F) \subseteq \Omega^M(F) = Id_{|M|\times|M|}$. Then $\Omega^{f^\star M}(F) = Id_{|f^\star M|\times|f^\star M|}$, so $\langle f^\star M, F\rangle$ is a reduced matrix.

• To $\models$ we use here a similar definition as in the subsection above, namely given $\langle M, F\rangle \in Matr*_{a_1}$ and $\langle \Gamma/\Delta, \varphi/\Delta\rangle \in Sen_a(a_1)$ then $\langle M, F\rangle \models \langle \Gamma/\Delta, \varphi/\Delta\rangle$ iff for any valuation $v : F(\Sigma_1)(X) \to M$, if $v[\Gamma] \subseteq F$ then $v(\varphi) \in F$. As $M \in Qv(a_1)$, this is well-defined, i.e., if $\vdash \theta\Delta\theta'$ then $v(\theta) = v(\theta')$, since v factors uniquely through the quotient morphism $F(\Sigma_1)(X) \twoheadrightarrow F(\Sigma_1)(X)/\Delta$. The proof of the compatibility follows from the same way as in the subsection above.

Definition 3.2. *We denote by $InsAL_a = \langle \mathbb{S}ig_a, Sen_a, Mod_a, \models\rangle$ the above defined institution. This will be called the algebraizable institution of a.*

3.2.2 The institution of a Lindenbaum algebraizable logics

Before define the Institution of Lindenbaum algebraizable logics, we define a notion of satisfiability of class of formulas:

Definition 3.3. *Let a be algebraizable logic. Given $M \in QV(a)$, $M \models_{QV(a)} [\varphi] \approx [\psi]$ iff for every valuation $v : F(\Sigma_a)(X) \to M$,*

$$v(\varphi') = v(\psi') \;\; \textit{such that} \;\; \varphi' \dashv\vdash \varphi \;\; \textit{and} \;\; \psi' \dashv\vdash \psi$$

Remark 3.4. *If $a \in Lind(\mathcal{A}_f)$ then, since $F(\Sigma_a)(X)/\dashv\vdash = F(\Sigma_a)(X)/\Delta$ is the free $QV(a)$-structure on X (see Remark **??**), then $\models_{QV(a)} [\varphi] \approx [\psi] \;\Leftrightarrow \models_{QV(a)} \varphi \approx \psi$.*

Given $a \in Lind(\mathcal{A}_f)$. Consider the following maps:

• $\mathbb{S}ig'_a$ is the category whose the objects are $a_1 = (\Sigma_1, \vdash_1) \in Lind(\mathcal{A}_f)$, that are isomorphic to a in the quotient category $QLind(\mathcal{A}_f) = Q(\mathcal{A}_f^c)$ and the morphisms are only the isomorphisms in $QLind(\mathcal{A}_f)$.

• $Mod'_a : \mathbb{S}ig'^{op}_a \to \mathbb{C}at$ such that $Mod'_a(a_1) = QV(a_1)$ for all $a_1 \in |\mathbb{S}ig'_a|$ and $Mod'_a(a_1 \overset{[h]}{\to} a_2) = (QV(a_2) \overset{h^{\uparrow}}{\to} QV(a_1))$ (see [**MaPi1**]).

• We define now the functor $Sen'_a : \mathbb{S}ig'_a \to \mathbb{S}et$.

Let $a_1 \in |\mathbb{S}ig'_a|$. The idea here is to describe a convenient set of tuples that represents quasi-equations in Σ_1 (i.e., $Eq_0 \wedge ... \wedge Eq_{n-1} \to Eq$).

For each $s = ([\varphi_0], \cdots, [\varphi_{n-1}], [\psi])$, a non-empty finite sequence in $F(\Sigma_1)/\dashv\vdash$ (the free $QV(a_1)$-structure on the set X) and each (τ, Δ), an algebraizable pair of a_1, where $\tau = \{(\varepsilon^j, \delta^j);\ j = 1, ..., m \textit{ for some } m \in \omega\}$, let

$$q(s, (\Delta, \tau)) := (([\varepsilon(\varphi_0)], [\delta(\varphi_0)]), \cdots, ([\varepsilon(\varphi_{n-1})], [\delta(\varphi_{n-1})]), ([\varepsilon(\psi)], [\delta(\psi)]))$$

where the *notation* $([\varepsilon(\theta)], [\delta(\theta)])$ abbreviates the pair of finite sequence of equivalence class of formulas: $([\varepsilon^j(\theta), [\delta^j(\theta)])_j$ *with* $j = 1, \cdots, m$.. Note that, as a_1 is a *congruential algebraizable logic*, then:
(*) If $[\theta] = [\theta']$ (i.e., $\theta \dashv\vdash \theta'$), then $\delta(\theta) \dashv\vdash \delta(\theta')$ and $\varepsilon(\theta) \dashv\vdash \varepsilon(\theta')$. Thus we have an well defined mapping $\varphi/\Delta \overset{t}{\mapsto} (\varepsilon(\varphi)/\Delta, \delta(\varphi)/\Delta)$ and $q(s, (\Delta, \tau))$ is well-defined;
(**) conversely, as $\varphi \dashv\vdash \Delta(\epsilon(\varphi), \delta(\varphi))$, then we have and well defined map $(\varepsilon(\varphi)/\Delta, \delta(\varphi)/\Delta) \overset{r}{\mapsto} \varphi/\Delta$ and $r \circ t = id$.

Define $q_s := \{q(s, (\tau, \Delta)) : (\tau, \Delta)$ is an algebraizable pair of $a_1\}$ and then take $Sen'_a(a_1) := \{q_s : s$ is a non-empty finite sequence in $F(\Sigma_1)/\Delta_1\}$. Note that, by the above remark, the mapping $s \overset{t}{\mapsto} q_s$ determine a *bijection* between the set of non-empty finite sequences in $F(\Sigma_1)/\Delta$ and $Sen'a(a_1)$

Let $[f] : a_1 \to a_2$ be an isomorphism in $QLind(\mathcal{A}_f)$, in particular $\check{f}/\dashv\vdash : F(\Sigma_1)/\dashv_1\vdash \to F(\Sigma_2)/\dashv_2\vdash$ is a bijection. Let $s = ([\varphi_0], \cdots, [\varphi_{n-1}], [\psi])$ be a non-empty finite sequence in $F(\Sigma_1)/\dashv_1\vdash$ and $((\varepsilon, \delta), \Delta)$ be an algebraizable pair of a_1. Then $f * s := ([\check{f}(\varphi_0)], \cdots, [\check{f}(\varphi_{n-1})], [\check{f}(\psi)])$ is a non-empty finite sequence in $F(\Sigma_2)/\dashv_2\vdash$ and the mapping

$$q(s, ((\varepsilon, \delta), \Delta)) \;\mapsto\; q(f * s, ((\check{f}(\varepsilon), \check{f}(\delta)), \check{f}(\Delta)))$$

determines a bijection: $f^+ : q_s \overset{\cong}{\to} q_{f*s}$.

Then $Sen'_a([f]) : Sen_a(a_1) \to Sen'_a(a_2)$ is given by $Sen'_a([f])(q_s) := q_{f*s}$ (this map is well defined). It is straitforward check that $Sen'_a : \mathbb{S}ig'_a \to \mathbb{S}et$ is a functor.

Just to simplify notation, from now on we will denote the any element of the set q_s by $(([\alpha_0], [\beta_0]), \cdots, ([\alpha_{n-1}], [\beta_{n-1}]), ([\alpha], [\beta])) =$ $(([\varepsilon(\varphi_0)], [\delta(\varphi_0)]), ..., ([\varepsilon(\varphi_{n-1})]), ([\varepsilon(\psi)], [\delta(\psi)]))$.

• Given $a' \in \mathbb{S}ig_a$, $M' \in QV(a')$ and $q' \in Sen_a(a')$, we say that $M' \models^a q'$ when, for any (and thus for all!) element $(([\alpha'_0], [\beta'_0]), \cdots, ([\alpha'_{n-1}], [\beta'_{n-1}]), ([\alpha'], [\beta']))$ of q', if

$$M' \models_{QV(a')} [\alpha'_i] \approx [\beta'_i] \;\forall\; i = 0, ..., n-1$$

then

$$M' \models_{QV(a)} [\alpha'] \approx [\beta']$$

Let $[f] : a_1 \to a_2 \in \mathbb{S}ig'_a$, $M_2 \in QV(a_2)$ and $q \in Sen'_a(a_1)$. Then, as $[f] : a_1 \to a_2$ which is a isomorphism in $QLind(\mathcal{A}_f)$, then it is easy to see that

$$M_2 \models^a Sen(f)(q) \;\Leftrightarrow\; Mod(f)(M_2) \models^a q$$

Definition 3.5. *Then we have that* $InsLAL_a = \langle \mathbb{S}ig'_a, Sen'_a, Mod'_a, \models' \rangle$ *is a institution called the Lindenbaum institution of* a.

Remark 3.6. *As can be easily checked, each Lindenbaum algebraizable logic* a*, determines the following* comorphism of institutions*:* $h^a = (\Phi^a, \alpha^a, \beta^a) : InsLAL_a \to InsAL_a$*, where:*

- $\Phi^a : \mathbb{S}ig'_a \to \mathbb{S}ig_a$ *consists of inclusion of categories:* $\Phi^a(a_1 \overset{[h_1]}{\to} a_2) = a_1 \overset{[h_1]}{\to} a_2$;
- $\beta^a : Mod_a \circ (\Phi^a)^{op} \Rightarrow Mod'_a$*, given by, for each* $a_1 \in |\mathbb{S}ig'_a|$*,* $\beta^a(a_1) : Matr^*_a \to QV(a_1)$ *is the forgetful functor;*
- $\alpha^a : Sen'_a \Rightarrow Sen_a \circ \Phi^a$*, given by, for each* $a_1 \in |\mathbb{S}ig'_a|$*, for each* $q \in Sen'_a(a_1)$*, let* $s = ([\varphi_0], \cdots, [\varphi_{n-1}], [\psi])$ *be the* unique *non-empty finite sequence in* $F(\Sigma_1)/\dashv\vdash$ *such that* $q = q_s$*, then* $\alpha^a(a_1)(q) := (\{[\varphi_0], \cdots, [\varphi_{n-1}]\}, [\psi]) \in \mathcal{P}_{fin}(F(\Sigma_1)/\Delta_) \times F(\Sigma_1)/\Delta = Sen_a(a_1)$.
- *It holds the compatiblitity condition: for each* $a_1 \in |\mathbb{S}ig'_a|$*, each* $(M, F) \in |Mod_a(\Phi^a(a_1)| = Matr^*(a_1)$ *and each* $q_s \in Sen'_a(a_1)$

$$(M, F) \models^{I_a} \alpha^a(q_s) \; iff \; M \models^{I'_a} q_s$$

And this follows from:

(+) For each $v : X \to M$ *and* $\varphi \in F(\Sigma_1)$*:*

$$v(\varphi) \in F \; iff \; v(\varepsilon(\varphi)) = v(\delta(\varphi))^5$$

Remark 3.7. *One can ask "why do use different notion of institution of a Lindenbaum algebraizable logic instead of the restrict the notion of institution of algebraizable logic to the class of Lindenbaum algebraizable logic?" The answer to this question is that those institutions seem not be isomorphic, but there are notions of abstract Glivenko's theorem for both of them. This means that we have two different approaches to abstract Glivenko's theorem as follow in the next section. We believe that those two different approaches for the abstract Glivenko's theorem can be applied for special classes of logics, for instance we can use the idea behind of the institution for an algebraizable logic as 3.2.1 to provide an institution for an equivalential logic. On the other hand, we can use the idea behind of the institution for a Lindenbaum algebraizable logic as 3.2.2 to provide an institution for a truth-equational logic.*

4 The abstract Glivenko's theorem

The Glivenko's theorem allows one translate the classical logic into the intuitionistic logic by means double negation. More precisely, if Σ be a commom signature for expressing presentations of classical propositional logic (CPC) and intuitionistic propositional logic (IPC) – for instance, $\Sigma = \{\neg, \to, \wedge, \vee\}$– and $\Gamma \cup \{\varphi\} \subseteq F(\Sigma)$, then $\Gamma \vdash_{CPC} \varphi$ iff $\neg\neg\Gamma \vdash_{IPC} \neg\neg\varphi$. Here we generalize the Glivenko's theorem between arbitrary algebraizable logics (Lindenbaum algebraizable logics) using the ideas and notions of the Institution Theory applied to the former defined institutions for algebraizable logics (Lindenbaum algebraizable logics).

Remark 4.1. *(a) Let* $a = IPC$ *and* $a' = CPC$ *both Lindenbaum algebraizable logics with the same signature. We have the "inclusion" morphism* $h : IPC \to CPC$*. Denote* BA *and* HA*, the quasivarieties of Boolean algebras and of Heyting algebras on that commom signature. So* $h^\star_\restriction = incl : BA \to HA$ *has left a adjoint functor* $G : HA \to BA$*. Observe that* $h^\star_\restriction$ *is the inclusion functor. Hence given* $H \in HA$*,* $G(H) = H/F_H$*, where* F_H *is the filter in* H *generated by the subset* $\{a \leftrightarrow \neg\neg a : a \in H\}$*, and the quotient* HA*-homomorphism* $q_H : H \twoheadrightarrow incl(G(H))$ *is the* H*-component of the unity of this adjunction. It is possible to proof that* $G(H) \cong H_{\neg\neg}$*, where* $H_{\neg\neg}$ *denote the (boolean algebra) of regular elements of* H*, that is, those elements* $x \in H$ *such that* $\neg\neg x = x$*. Moreover, the surjective* HA*-homomorphism* $x \in H \mapsto \neg\neg x \in H_{\neg\neg}$ *has* HA*-section* $H_{\neg\neg} \mapsto \neg\neg y \in H$*.*

(b) Let $h : a \to a' \in \mathcal{A}_f$*. Then* $h^\star$ *and* $h^\star\restriction$ *have respective left adjoints* L_h *and* $\bar{L_h}$*. Consider* $\partial : Id \Rightarrow h^\star \circ L_h$ *and* $\bar{\partial} : Id \Rightarrow h^\star\restriction \circ \bar{L_h}$ *the units of the adjunctions between* $h^\star, L_h$ *and* $h^\star\restriction, \bar{L_h}$ *respectively. Given* $X \in \mathbb{S}et$ *the following diagram commute: (Here* $\partial_X = \partial_{FX} = \check{h}$*. The same for* $\bar{\partial}$*)*

[5] Indeed, as $\varphi \dashv\vdash \Delta(\epsilon(\varphi), \delta(\varphi))$, *then* $v(\varphi) \in F \; iff \; v(\Delta(\epsilon(\varphi), \delta(\varphi))) \in F \; iff \; (v(\epsilon(\varphi)), v(\delta(\varphi))) \in \Omega^M(F) = id$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} FX & \xrightarrow{\partial_X} & F'X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ FX/\Delta & \xrightarrow[\bar{\partial}_X]{} & F'X/\Delta' \end{array}$$

*Due to results in [***MaPi1***], $\partial_X = \check{h}$. Moreover, observe that $\bar{\partial}_X$ and $[\check{h}] : FX/\Delta \to h^\star\restriction (F'X/\Delta')$ both satisfies the universal property, so there exist an isomorphism between $\bar{L}_h(FX/\Delta)$ and $F'X/\Delta$. With this we can consider $\bar{\partial}_X : FX/\Delta \to h^\star\restriction (F'X/\Delta')$*

Now we are ready to propose the following

Definition 4.2. *A **Glivenko's context** is a pair $\mathbb{G} = (h : a \to a', \bar{\rho})$ where $h \in \mathcal{A}_f(a, a')$ and $\bar{\rho} : h^\star\restriction \circ L_h \Rightarrow Id$ is a natural transformation that is a* section *of the unit $\bar{\partial} : Id \Rightarrow h^\star\restriction \circ L_h$).*

Remark 4.3. *Let $\mathbb{G} = (h : a \to a', \bar{\rho})$ is a **Glivenko's context** then:*

(a) $[\check{h} = \bar{\partial}_X : FX/\Delta \to h^\star\restriction (F'X/\Delta')$ is a surjective homomorphism thus h is a Δ-dense morphism (see also citeMaPi1). For each $Y \subseteq X$, can be chosen (non naturally) a "lifting" $\rho_Y : F'Y \to FY$, for each of the natural sections $\bar{\rho}_Y : F'Y/\Delta_Y \to F'Y/\Delta_Y$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} FY & \xleftarrow{\rho_Y} & F'Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ FY/\Delta & \xleftarrow[\bar{\rho}_Y]{} & F'Y/\Delta' \end{array}$$

$\bar{\partial}_X[\theta] = [\check{h}(\theta)]$, for all $\theta \in FX$.

(b) On the other hand, the condition of being a Δ-dense on a $\mathcal{A}_f$-morphism h is not sufficient to ensure that h is part of a Glivenko's context: Consider a the "logic of abelian groups" and a' the "logic of groups" (see Chapter 1, section 3): both are algebraizable logics; then $QV(a) = Ab, QV(a') = Gr$ and, for each group G, the unity of this adjunction at G is the quotient homomorphism $q_G : G \twoheadrightarrow incl(G/[G,G])$; taking $G = F(x,y)$, the free group in 2 generators, then $G/[G,G] \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ is the free abelian group in 2 generators and is straitforward $q_G : G \twoheadrightarrow incl(G/[G,G])$ does not have a section! It will be interesting determine additional condition on a Δ-dense morphism, that ensures it be a part of a Glivenko's context.

*(c) Observe that for any $M' \in QV(a')$ there is $M \in QV(a)$ such that $L_h(M) \cong M'$: indeed, as $h : a \to a'$ is a Δ-dense morphism. We have proved in [***MaPi1***] that $h^\star\restriction : QV(a') \to QV(a)$ is a full and faithfull functor with a left adjoint and a well-known result on adjunctions, entails that the* co-unity *of the adjunction κ must be an isomorphism, thus $\kappa_{M'} : L_h(h^\star(M')) \xrightarrow{\cong} M'$, for each $M' \in QV(a')$.*

Remark 4.4. *If $\mathbb{G} = (h : a \to a', \bar{\rho})$ is a **Glivenko's context** then, taking $Y = \{x_0\} \subseteq X$, then $E_Y(x_0) \in F(Y)$ is a Σ'-formula in at most one variable x_0 such that $[x_0] = [\check{h}(\rho_Y(x_0))] \in F'(Y)/\Delta'$ and thus $[\rho_Y(x_0)] = [\rho_Y(\check{h}(\rho_Y(x_0)))] \in F(Y)/\Delta$.*

(Note that the formula $\neg\neg(x)$ appears as a "fixed formulas" in CPC and as an "idempotent formula" in IPC.) Conversely, give a "fixed formula" seems to be also a sufficient condition for exists a Glivenko's context, i.e. give a Σ_a-formula in at most variable x_0, $\theta(x_0)$, such that $\vdash'_a x_0 \Delta'(\check{h}(\theta(x_0))$. Further investigation is needed to establish (and explore) a precise relation between fixed/idempotent formulas and Glivenko's contexts.

Corollary 4.5. *Let $\mathbb{G} = (h : a \to a', \bar{\rho})$ be a Glivenko's context and suppose that a_1 is an algebraizable logic and $[e_1] : a \to a_1$ is an isomorphism in the quotient category $\overline{\mathcal{A}_f}$. Let $[h_1] : a_1 \to a'$ be the unique $\overline{\mathcal{A}_f}$ such that the diagram below commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} a & \xrightarrow{[h]} & a' \\ {\scriptstyle [e_1]}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle [id'_a]} \\ a_1 & \xrightarrow[[h_1]]{} & a' \end{array}$$

Then $h_1 : a_1 \to a$ is a Δ-dense morphism in $\mathcal{A}_f$.

From the choice of left adjoints of functors between quasivarieties induced by Δ-dense morphisms (see Chapter 2), we have the strict *equalities $L_{h_1} \circ L_{e_1} = L_{h_1 \circ e_1} = L_h$ and then also the diagram below commutes (L_{e_1} is the inverse isomorphism of $e_1^\star$)*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} QV(a) & \xrightarrow{L_h} & QV(a') \\ {\scriptstyle e_1^\star}\uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle id_{QV(a')}} \\ QV(a_1) & \xrightarrow[L_{h_1}]{} & QV(a') \end{array}$$

Thus, the (natural) section, $\bar{\rho}$, of the unity of the adjunction $L_h \dashv h$ induces uniquely a (natural) section, $\bar{\rho}^{a_1}$, of the unity of the adjunction $L_{h_1} \dashv h_1$.

In more details: if $M_1 \in QV(a_1)$ and $\partial^{a_1}_{M_1} : M_1 \twoheadrightarrow h_1^\star(L_{h_1}(M_1))$ is the (canonical) unity of $L_{h_1} \dashv h_1$ (remember that h_1 is Δ-dense, since h is Δ-dense and $[e_1]$ is an isomorphism), then

$$e_1^\star(\partial^{a_1}_{M_1}) : e_1^\star(M_1) \twoheadrightarrow e_1^\star(h_1^\star(L_{h_1}(M_1))) \;=$$

$$\partial^{a}_{e_1^\star(M_1)} : e_1^\star(M_1) \twoheadrightarrow h^\star(L_h(e_1^\star(M_1)))$$

Thus take $\bar{\rho}^{a_1}_{M_1} := L_{e_1}(\bar{\rho}_{e_1^\star(M_1)})$

4.1 The abstract Glivenko's theorem in *InsAL*

On the category *InsAL* we are going to present the abstract Glivenko's theorem through morphisms in this category.

Theorem 4.6. *Let a, a' be algebraizable logics, then each $\mathbb{G} = (h : a \to a', \rho)$* ***Glivenko's context*** *induces a institutions morphism $InsAL_a \to InsAL_{a'}$. More precisely, fixing a choice of isomorphisms $\varepsilon : Obj(\mathbb{S}ig_a) \to Mor(\mathbb{S}ig_a)$, $a_1 \mapsto \varepsilon(a_1) = [e_1] : a \xrightarrow{\cong} a_1$, we define a institution morphism $N_{(G,\varepsilon_a)} : InsAL_a \to InsAL_{a'}$*[6]

Proof:

By simplicity, we will write (G, ε) for (G, ε_a). We will define

$$N_{(G,\varepsilon)} = \langle \Phi^{(G,\varepsilon)}, \alpha^{(G,\varepsilon)}, \beta^{(G,\varepsilon)} \rangle$$

(this will depend only on the choice of isomorphisms in the *domain* institution $InsAL_a$):

- $\Phi^{(G,\varepsilon)} : \mathbb{S}ig_a \to \mathbb{S}ig_{a'}$

The object part of $\Phi^{(G,\varepsilon)}$ is easy do define: for $a_1 \in |\mathbb{S}ig_a|$, set $\Phi^{(G,\varepsilon)}(a_1) := a'$.

It follows from adaptations of results in [**AFLM**] and [**MaMe**] that $\overline{\mathcal{A}_f}$ is a finitely accessible category that has all colimits (except initial object) and is relatively complete (i.e, has limits for all diagrams that admits a cone). In particular $\overline{\mathcal{A}_f}$ has pushouts, and for each $\overline{\mathcal{A}_f}$-isomorphism $[f] : a \to a$, we consider the following pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} a & \xrightarrow{[h]} & a' \\ {\scriptstyle [f]}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle [f'_1]} \\ a & \xrightarrow[[h_1]]{} & a'_1 \end{array}$$

As a pushout of an iso is an iso and a pushout of an epi is an epi (recall that h is a Δ-dense morphisms, i.e., $[h]$ is an epi), we may suppose that the vertex of the pushout is a', $[f^h] : a' \to a'$ is an isomorphism and the diagram below commutes[7]

[6] Such induced morphisms are "isomorphic", for different choices of isomorphisms $\varepsilon^0, \varepsilon^1$.

[7] In this case, this is a necessary and sufficient condition to be a pushout.



Note that, as $[h]$ is an epi, then $[f^h]$ is uniquely determined.

Now let $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{S}ig_a$ and $[g] : a_1 \to a_2$ be an arrow in $\mathbb{S}ig_a$ (i.e., $[g]$ is a $\overline{\mathcal{A}_f}$-isomorphism). Then, as $e_i : a \to a_i$ is an isomorphism, $i = 1, 2$, then there is a unique isomorphism $[g_\varepsilon] : a \to a'$ such that left diagram below commutes.



Then define $\Phi^{(G,\varepsilon)}([g] : a_1 \to a_2) := [g^h_\varepsilon] : a' \to a'$. As $[g_\varepsilon]$ and $[g^h_\varepsilon]$ are *uniquely determined* by g, it follows that $\Phi^{(G,\varepsilon)}$ preserves identities and composition of arrows in $\mathbb{S}ig_a$, thus being a functor.

- $\alpha^{(G,\varepsilon)} : Sen_{a'} \circ \Phi^{(G,\varepsilon)} \Rightarrow Sen_a$ where, for a we have that $\alpha^{(G,\varepsilon)}(a) : Sen_{a'} \circ \Phi^{(G,\varepsilon)}(a) = Sen_{a'}(a') \to Sen_a(a)$ such that $\alpha^{(G,\varepsilon)}(a)(\langle \Gamma'/\Delta', \varphi'/\Delta' \rangle) = \langle \rho_X[\Gamma']/\Delta, \rho_X[\varphi']/\Delta \rangle$. Now for $a_1 \in \mathbb{S}ig_a$, let $[e_1] : a \to a_1$ the isomorphism corresponding by the choice ε at a_1 then, by 4.5, $\alpha^{(G,\varepsilon)}(a_1) : Sen_{a'} \circ \Phi^{(G,\varepsilon)}(a_1) \to Sen_a(a_1)$ such that for $\langle \Gamma'/\Delta', \varphi'/\Delta' \rangle \in Sen_{a'}(a')$, $\alpha^{(G,\varepsilon)}(a_1)(\langle \Gamma'/\Delta', \varphi'/\Delta' \rangle) = \langle \rho^{a_1}_X[\Gamma']/\Delta_1, \rho^{a_1}_X(\varphi')/\Delta_1 \rangle = \langle \check{e_1} \circ \rho_X[\Gamma']/\Delta_1, \check{e_1} \circ \rho_X(\varphi')/\Delta_1 \rangle$. If $[g] : a_1 \to a_2$ is an isomorphism in $\mathbb{S}ig_a$, then for each $\theta' \in F'X$, $\vdash_2 \check{g}(\rho^{a_1}_X(\theta'))\Delta_2\rho^{a_2}_X(\check{g}^h_\varepsilon(\theta'))$, thus $\alpha^{(G,\varepsilon)}$ is a natural transformation.

- $\beta^{(G,\varepsilon)} : Mod_a \Rightarrow Mod_{a'} \circ (\Phi^{(G,\varepsilon)})^{op}$ where for a we have $\beta^{(G,\varepsilon)}(a) : Mod_a(a) = Matr^*_a \to Mod_{a'}(\Phi^{(G,\varepsilon)}(a)) = Matr^*_{a'}$ such that $\beta_h(a)(\langle M, F_M \rangle) = \langle L_h(M), F_{L_h(M)} \rangle$, where $F_{L_h(M)} := \bar{\partial}_M[F_M]$ (note that $L_h(M) \in QV(a)$)[8]. Now for $a_1 \in \mathbb{S}ig_a$, $\beta^{(G,\varepsilon)}(a_1) : Mod_a(a_1) = Matr^*_{a_1} \to Mod_{a'}(\Phi^{(G,\varepsilon)}(a_1)) = Matr^*_{a'}$ such that $\beta^{(G,\varepsilon)}(a_1)(\langle M, F_M \rangle) = \langle L_h(e^\star_1(M')), F_{L_h(e^\star_1(M'))} \rangle$. Similarly of above we have the well definition of $\beta^{(G,\varepsilon)}$. The naturality is proved using the functorial encoding of equipollence that we have proved in Chapter 2.

- The proof the compatibility condition will be splited in two parts:

(I) The first part consist of the compatibility on the logic a:

Claim. Given $\langle M, F_M \rangle \in Mod_a(a) = Matr^*_a$ and $\langle \Gamma'/\Delta', \varphi'/\Delta' \rangle \in Sen_{a'}$ then

$$\beta_h(a)(\langle M, F_M \rangle) \models' \langle \Gamma'/\Delta', \varphi'/\Delta' \rangle \ \ \textit{iff} \ \ \langle M, F_M \rangle \models \alpha_h(a)(\langle \Gamma'/\Delta', \varphi'/\Delta' \rangle)$$

In other notation

$$\langle L_h(M), F_{L_h(M)} \rangle \models' \langle \Gamma'/\Delta', \varphi'/\Delta' \rangle \ \ \textit{iff} \ \ \langle M, F_M \rangle \models \langle \rho_X[\Gamma']/\Delta, \rho_X(\varphi')/\Delta \rangle$$

Proof of the Claim.

" $\Rightarrow$ ": Let $v : X \to M$ be an evaluation such that $v[\rho_X[\Gamma']] \subseteq F$. We can consider $\bar{w} = h^* \circ L_h(\bar{v}) : (F'(X)/\Delta')^h \to h^*(L_h(M))$ and then the following diagram commutes:



[8] That $\langle L_h(M), F_{L_h(M)} \rangle \in Matr^*_{a'}$, follows from an argument analogous to the proof of compatibility condition.

Since $v \circ \rho_X[\Gamma'] \subseteq F_M$ we have that $\Gamma' \subseteq \rho_X^{-1} \circ v^{-1}[F_M]$. Consider (Δ', τ') a algebraizable pair for a'. Then we have that for all $\psi \in \Gamma'$ and $(\varepsilon'^j, \delta'^j) \in \tau'$, $(\varepsilon'^j(\psi), \delta'^j(\psi)) \in \Omega^{F'(X)^h}(\rho_X^{-1} \circ v^{-1}(F_M)) = \rho_X^{-1} \circ v^{-1}(\Omega^M(F_M))$. Therefore $(v \circ \rho_X(\varepsilon'^j(\psi)), v \circ \rho_X(\delta'^j(\psi))) \in \Omega^M(F_M)$. Since $\langle M, F_M \rangle$ is a reduced matrix, we have for all $\psi \in \Gamma'$

$$\begin{aligned}
v \circ \rho_X(\varepsilon'^j(\psi)) &= v \circ \rho_X(\delta'^j(\psi)) \\
\bar{v} \circ \bar{\rho_X}(\varepsilon'^j(\psi)/\Delta') &= \bar{v} \circ \bar{\rho_X}(\delta'^j(\psi)/\Delta') \\
\bar{\rho_M} \circ \bar{w}(\varepsilon'^j(\psi)/\Delta') &= \bar{\rho_M} \circ \bar{w}(\delta'^j(\psi)/\Delta') \\
\bar{\partial}_M \circ \bar{\rho_M} \circ \bar{w}(\varepsilon'^j(\psi)/\Delta') &= \bar{\partial}_M \circ \bar{\rho_M} \circ \bar{w}(\delta'^j(\psi)/\Delta') \\
\bar{w}(\varepsilon'^j(\psi)/\Delta') &= \bar{w}(\delta'^j(\psi)/\Delta') \\
w(\varepsilon'^j(\psi)) &= w(\delta'^j(\psi))
\end{aligned}$$

Then $(w(\varepsilon'^j(\psi)), w(\delta'^j(\psi))) \in \Omega^{L_h M}(F_{L_h M})$. Thus $w(\psi) \in F_{L_h M}$ for all $\psi \in \Gamma'$, by assumption $w(\varphi') \in F_{L_h M}$. So $(w(\varepsilon'^j(\varphi')), w(\delta'^j(\varphi'))) \in \Omega^{L_h M}(F_{L_h M})$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
w(\varepsilon'^j(\varphi')) &= w(\delta'^j(\varphi')) \\
\bar{w}(\varepsilon'^j(\varphi')/\Delta') &= \bar{w}(\delta'^j(\varphi')/\Delta') \\
\bar{\rho_M} \circ \bar{w}(\varepsilon'^j(\varphi')/\Delta') &= \bar{\rho_M} \circ \bar{w}(\delta'^j(\varphi')/\Delta') \\
\bar{v} \circ \bar{\rho_X}(\varepsilon'^j(\varphi')/\Delta') &= \bar{v} \circ \bar{\rho_X}(\delta'^j(\varphi')/\Delta') \\
v \circ \rho_X(\varepsilon'^j(\varphi')) &= v \circ \rho_X(\delta'^j(\varphi'))
\end{aligned}$$

Then $(v \circ \rho_X(\varepsilon'^j(\varphi')), v \circ \rho_X(\delta'^j(\varphi'))) \in \Omega^M(F_M)$. Therefore $v \circ \rho_X(\varphi') \in F_M$.

"$\Leftarrow$": Let $w : X \to L_h M$ a valuation such that $w[\Gamma'] \subseteq F_{L_h M}$. Consider $\bar{w} : F'(X)/\Delta' \to L_h(M)$ given by w such that the following diagram commutes:



Let $\bar{v} = \bar{\rho}_M \circ \bar{w} \circ \bar{\partial}_X$, then $\bar{\partial}_M \circ \bar{v} = \bar{\partial}_M \circ \bar{\rho} \circ \bar{w} \bar{\partial}_X = \bar{w} \circ \bar{\partial}_X$.

Since $w[\Gamma'] \subseteq F_{L_h M}$, we have that $(w(\varepsilon'(\psi)), w(\delta'(\psi))) \in \Omega^{L_h M}(F_{L_h M})$ for all $\psi \in \Gamma$ and $(\varepsilon', \delta') \in \tau'$. Since $\langle L_h M, F_{L_h M} \rangle$ is a reduced matrix, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
w(\varepsilon'(\psi)) &= w(\delta'(\psi)) \\
\bar{w}(\varepsilon'(\psi)) &= \bar{w}(\delta'(\psi)) \\
\bar{\rho_M} \circ \bar{w}(\varepsilon'(\psi)) &= \bar{\rho_M} \circ \bar{w}(\delta'(\psi)) \\
\bar{v} \circ \bar{\rho_X}(\varepsilon'(\psi)) &= \bar{v} \circ \bar{\rho_X}(\delta'(\psi))
\end{aligned}$$

From $\bar{\rho}_X$ there is ρ_X such that the square in the bellow diagram commutes, and then the diagram commutes:



With that we have $v \circ \rho_X(\varepsilon(\psi)) = v \circ \rho_X(\delta(\psi))$, so $(v \circ \rho_X(\varepsilon(\psi)), v \circ \rho_X(\delta(\psi))) \in \Omega^M(F_M)$ for all $\psi \in \Gamma'$. By algebraizability we have $v \circ \rho_X(\psi) \in F_M$ for all $\psi \in F_M$. By assumption $v \circ \rho_X(\varphi) \in F_M$. Thus $(v \circ \rho_X(\varepsilon(\varphi)), v \circ \rho_X(\delta(\varphi))) \in \Omega^M(F_M)$. Since $\langle M, F_M \rangle$ is a reduced matrix, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
v \circ \rho_X(\varepsilon'(\varphi)) &= v \circ \rho_X(\delta'(\varphi)) \\
\bar{v} \circ \bar{\rho}_X(\varepsilon'(\varphi)/\Delta') &= \bar{v} \circ \bar{\rho}_X(\delta'(\varphi)/\Delta') \\
\bar{\rho}_M \circ \bar{w}(\varepsilon'(\varphi)/\Delta') &= \bar{\rho}_M \circ \bar{w}(\delta'(\varphi)/\Delta') \\
\bar{\partial}_M \circ \bar{\rho}_M \circ \bar{w}(\varepsilon'(\varphi)/\Delta') &= \bar{\partial}_M \circ \bar{\rho}_M \circ \bar{w}(\delta'(\varphi)/\Delta') \\
\bar{w}(\varepsilon'(\varphi)/\Delta') &= \bar{w}(\delta'(\varphi)/\Delta') \\
w(\varepsilon'(\varphi)) &= w(\delta'(\varphi))
\end{aligned}$$

With that we have $(w(\varepsilon'(\varphi)), w(\delta'(\varphi))) \in \Omega^{L_h M}(F_{L_h M})$. Therefore $w(\varphi) \in F_{L_h M}$.

(II) One can use similar argument to prove the second part, i.e., given $a_1 \in \mathbb{S}ig(a)$, $\langle M_1, F_{M_1}\rangle \in Mod_a(a_1) = Matr^*_{a_1}$ and $\langle \Gamma'/\Delta', \varphi'/\Delta'\rangle \in Sen_{a'}(a')$ then:

$$\langle L_h(e^*_1(M_1)), F_{L_h(e^*_1(M_1))}\rangle \models' \langle \Gamma'/\Delta', \varphi'/\Delta'\rangle \;\; \textit{iff} \;\; \langle M_1, F_{M_1}\rangle \models_1 \langle \check{e}_1\rho_X[\Gamma']/\Delta_1, \check{f}\rho_X(\varphi')/\Delta_1\rangle$$

□

As a consequence of this theorem we have the abstract Glivenko's theorem between algebraizable logics.

Corollary 4.7. *For each Glivenko's context $\mathbb{G} = (h : a \to a', \rho)$, is associated an abstract Glivenko's theorem between a and a' i.e; given $\Gamma' \cup \{\varphi'\} \subseteq F'(X)$ then*

$$\rho_X[\Gamma'] \vdash \rho_X(\varphi') \;\Leftrightarrow\; \Gamma' \vdash' \varphi'$$

Proof:

We know that for any algebraizable logic a, $\vdash_a = \vdash_{Matr^*_a}$. For any reduced matrix in a' $\langle M', F_{M'}\rangle$ we have that $M' \in QV(a')$ and then there is $M \in QV(a)$ such that $L_h M \cong M'$ (see Remark 4.3.(c)) , moreover $\langle L_h M, F_{L_h M}\rangle \cong \langle M', F_{M'}\rangle$. With that it is enough to prove that

$$\rho_X[\Gamma'] \vdash_{Matr^*_a} \rho_X(\varphi') \;\Leftrightarrow\; \Gamma' \vdash_{Matr^*_{a'}} \varphi'$$

And that is equivalent to prove that for any $\langle M, F_M\rangle \in Matr^*_a$,

$$\langle M, F_M\rangle \models \langle \rho_X[\Gamma'], \rho_X(\varphi')\rangle \;\; \textit{iff} \;\; \langle L_h M, F_{L_h M}\rangle \models' \langle \Gamma', \varphi'\rangle$$

Or even,

$$\langle M, F_M\rangle \models \langle \rho_X[\Gamma']/\Delta, \rho_X(\varphi')/\Delta\rangle \;\; \textit{iff} \;\; \langle L_h M, F_{L_h M}\rangle \models' \langle \Gamma'/\Delta', \varphi'/\Delta'\rangle$$

But this last one follows from the previous theorem. □

Now we present that the abstract Glivenko's theorem restricts to the classical Glivenko's theorem.

Example 4.8. *Let $\Sigma = (\Sigma_n)_{n\in\omega}$ such that $\Sigma_0 = \emptyset$, $\Sigma_1 = \{\neg\}$, $\Sigma_2 = \{\longrightarrow\}$ and $\Sigma_n = \emptyset$ for all $n > 2$. Let the map $h : IPC \to CPC$ such that IPC and CPC both are defined with the signature Σ, $h(\neg) = \neg$ and $h(\longrightarrow) = \longrightarrow$, i.e., h is the inclusion map from the intuitionistic propositional logic to the classical propositional logic. IPC and CPC are (Lindenbaum) algebraizable logics and h is a morphism in $\mathcal{A}_f$. Notice that h^* is the identity functor and its restriction $h^*\restriction$: $Bool \hookrightarrow Heyt$ has a left adjoint given by $L_h : Heyt \to Bool$ such that for any $A \in Heyt$, $L_h(A) = A_{\neg\neg}$ where is the boolean algebra of regular element, i.e., $a \in A$ such that $\neg\neg a = a$. The unit of this adjunction is $\partial_A : A \to A_{\neg\neg}$ such that $\partial_A(a) = \neg\neg a$ for all $A \in \Sigma - Str$. It is easy to see that this map define a natural transformation, moreover it has a natural transformation such that is a section given by $\rho_A : A_{\neg\neg} \to A$ where $\rho_A(a) = \neg\neg a = a$. Then we have that $(h : IPC \to CPC, \rho)$ is a Glivenko's context.*

We know that $\psi \dashv_{CPC} \vdash \neg\neg\psi$ and then we have that $\psi/\Delta = \neg\neg\psi/\Delta$ where $\Delta = \{x \to y,\ y \to x\}$. Using the abstract Glivenko's theorem we have that given $\Gamma \cup \{\varphi\}$ set of formulas, then to prove that $\neg\neg\Gamma \vdash_{IPC} \neg\neg\varphi \;\Leftrightarrow\; \Gamma \vdash_{CPC} \varphi$ is enough to prove that for all matrix $\langle M, F_M\rangle \in Matr^*_{ICP}$,

$$\langle M_{\neg\neg}, F_{M_{\neg\neg}}\rangle \models_{CPC} \langle \Gamma/\Delta, \varphi/\Delta\rangle \;\; \textit{iff} \;\; \langle M, F_M\rangle \models_{IPC} \langle \neg\neg\Gamma/\Delta, \neg\neg\varphi/\Delta\rangle$$

That is exactly the same to prove that

$$\langle L_h M, F_{L_h M}\rangle \models_{CPC} \langle \Gamma/\Delta, \varphi/\Delta\rangle \;\; \textit{iff} \;\; \langle M, F_M\rangle \models_{CPC} \langle \rho_X[\Gamma]/\Delta, \rho_X(\varphi)/\Delta\rangle.$$

This last follows from the previous corollary.

Remark 4.9. *We believe that the notion of abstract Glivenko's theorem provided here, partially generalizes the approach that has been developed in [***Tor***] In that paper, the author consider abstract Glivenko's theorem in the algebraizable logic setting (and also in some variants) but just relating logics defined over the* same signature *by means of an essentially idempotent formula with a free variable.*

4.2 The abstract Glivenko's theorem in *InsLAL*

We also have that a Glivenko's context induces an abstract Glivenko's theorem for $InsLAL$ and we present now.

In this subsection we consider fixed: a, a' Lindenbaum algebraizable logics, $G = (h : a \to a', \rho)$ a Glivenko's context and a choice of isomorphisms

$$\varepsilon_a : Obj(\mathbb{S}ig'_a) \to \bigcup_{a_1 \in Obj(\mathbb{S}ig'_a)} Hom_{\mathbb{S}ig_a}(a, a_1)$$

given by $a_1 \mapsto ([e_1] : a \to a_1)$

Corollary 4.10. *For each $s = ([\varphi_0], \cdots, [\varphi_{n-1}], [\psi])$, a non-empty finite sequence in $F(\Sigma)/\dashv\vdash$ (the free $QV(a)$-structure on the set X) and each (τ, Δ), an algebraizable pair of a, where $\tau = \{(\varepsilon^j, \delta^j);\ j = 1, ..., m$ for some $m \in \omega\}$, let*

$$q(s, (\Delta, \tau)) := (([\varepsilon(\varphi_0)], [\delta(\varphi_0)]), \cdots, ([\varepsilon(\varphi_{n-1})], [\delta(\varphi_{n-1})]), ([\varepsilon(\psi)], [\delta(\psi)]))$$

where the notation $([\varepsilon(\theta)], [\delta(\theta)])$ *abbreviates the pair of finite sequence of equivalence class of formulas: $([\varepsilon^j(\theta), [\delta^j(\theta)])_j$ with $j = 1, \cdots, m$.. Note that, as a is a* congruential algebraizable logic*, then:*
(*) If $[\theta] = [\theta']$ (i.e., $\theta \dashv\vdash \theta'$), then $\delta(\theta) \dashv\vdash \delta(\theta')$ and $\varepsilon(\theta) \dashv\vdash \varepsilon(\theta')$.Thus we have an well defined mapping $\varphi/\Delta \overset{t}{\mapsto} (\varepsilon(\varphi)/\Delta, \delta(\varphi)/\Delta)$ and $q(s, (\Delta, \tau))$ is well-defined;
(**) conversely, as $\varphi \dashv\vdash \Delta(\epsilon(\varphi), \delta(\varphi))$, then we have and well defined map $(\varepsilon(\varphi)/\Delta, \delta(\varphi)/\Delta) \overset{r}{\mapsto} \varphi/\Delta$ and $r \circ t = id$.

Recall that $q_s := \{q(s, (\tau, \Delta)) : (\tau, \Delta)$ is an algebraizable pair of $a_1\}$ and $Sen'_a(a) := \{q_s : s$ is a non-empty finite sequence in $F(\Sigma)\}$. Note that, by the above remark, the mapping $s \overset{t}{\mapsto} q_s$ determine a bijection *between the set of non-empty finite sequences in $F(\Sigma)/\Delta$ and $Sen'a(a)$*

Then, in particular $\check{h}/\dashv\vdash : F(\Sigma)/\dashv\vdash \to F(\Sigma')/\dashv'\vdash$ has a section $\bar{\rho}_X : F(\Sigma')/\dashv'\vdash \to F(\Sigma)/\dashv\vdash$. Let $s' = ([\varphi'_0], \cdots, [\varphi'_{n-1}], [\psi'])$ be a non-empty finite sequence in $F(\Sigma')/\dashv'\vdash$ and $((\varepsilon', \delta'), \Delta')$ be an algebraizable pair of a'. Then $\rho_* s' := ([\rho_X(\varphi'_0)], \cdots, [\rho_X(\varphi'_{n-1})], [\rho_X(\psi')])$ is a non-empty finite sequence in $F(\Sigma)/\dashv\vdash$ and the mapping $q'_{s'} \in Sen'_{a'}(a') \mapsto q_{\rho_* s'} \in Sen'_a(a)$ is a section of the map on non-empty finite sequences induced by $\check{h}/\dashv\vdash : F(\Sigma)/\dashv\vdash \to F(\Sigma')/\dashv'\vdash$.

Now, we start providing the following

Proposition 4.11. *Let $L_h : QV(a) \to QV(a')$ be the left adjoint of $h^\star\restriction : QV(a') \to QV(a)$ as defined in Chapter 2 (see Proposition* **??***), then for each $M \in QV(a)$ and $q' \in Sent'_a(a')$, the following compatibility relation holds:*

$$M \models^a \bar{\rho} q' \ \Leftrightarrow\ L_h M \models^{a'} q'$$

Proof:

" $\Rightarrow$ " Let $q' \in Sen'(a')$. Suppose that given $M \in QV(a)$, $M \models^a \bar{\rho} q'$. Given $w : X \to L_h(M)$ ($|L_h M| = |h^\star L_h M|$, we can consider $w : X \to h^\star L_h(M)$) such that

$$\bar{w}[\varepsilon'(\varphi'_i)] = \bar{w}[\delta'(\varphi'_i)],\ i = 0, ..., n-1$$

Look to diagram below:



Consider $\bar{v} = \bar{\rho}_M \bar{w} \bar{\partial}_X$ (there is $v : X \to M$ such that to be corresponding with $\bar{v}$). Hence $\bar{v}\bar{\rho}_X = \bar{\rho}_M \bar{w} \bar{\partial}_X \bar{\rho}_X = \bar{\rho}_M \bar{w}$

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\bar{\rho}_M \bar{w}[\varepsilon'(\varphi'_i)] & = & \bar{\rho}_M \bar{w}[\delta'(\varphi'_i)] \ (i = 0, ..., n-1) \\
\bar{v}\bar{\rho}_X[\varepsilon'(\varphi'_i)] & = & \bar{v}\bar{\rho}_X[\delta'(\varphi'_i)] \ (i = 0, ..., n-1) \\
\bar{v}\bar{\rho}_X[\varepsilon'(\varphi')] & = & \bar{v}\bar{\rho}_X[\delta'(\varphi')] \ Hypo. \\
\bar{\partial}_M \bar{v}\bar{\rho}_X[\varepsilon'(\varphi')] & = & \bar{\partial}_M \bar{v}\bar{\rho}_X[\delta'(\varphi')] \\
\bar{\partial}_M \bar{\rho}_M \bar{w}[\varepsilon'(\varphi')] & = & \bar{\partial}_M \bar{\rho}_M \bar{w}[\delta'(\varphi')] \\
\bar{w}[\varepsilon'(\varphi')] & = & \bar{w}[\delta'(\varphi')]
\end{array}$$

w was taken arbitrary, so $L_h M \models^{a'} q$

" $\Leftarrow$ " Suppose that $L_h M \models^{a'} q'$. Let $v : X \to M$ such that $\bar{v}\bar{\rho}_X[\varepsilon'(\varphi'_i)] = \bar{v}\bar{\rho}_X[\delta'(\varphi'_i)] \ \forall \ i = 0, ..., n-1$.

Consider $\bar{w} = h^{\star}\restriction L_h(\bar{v})$(exist $w : X \to h^{\star}\restriction L_h M$ extends to $\bar{w}$). So $\bar{\rho}_M \bar{w} = \bar{v}\bar{\rho}_X$ and $\bar{w}\bar{\partial}_X = \bar{\partial}_M \bar{v}$. Therefore

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\bar{\rho}_M \bar{w}[\varepsilon'(\varphi'_i)] & = & \bar{\rho}_M \bar{w}[\delta'(\varphi'_i)] \ i = 0, ..., n-1 \\
\bar{\partial}_M \bar{\rho}_M \bar{w}[\varepsilon'(\varphi'_i)] & = & \bar{\partial}_M \bar{\rho}_M \bar{w}[\delta'(\varphi'_i)] \ i = 0, ..., n-1 \\
\bar{w}[\varepsilon'(\varphi'_i)] & = & \bar{w}[\delta'(\varphi'_i)] \ i = 0, ..., n-1 \\
\bar{w}[\varepsilon'(\varphi')] & = & \bar{w}[\delta'(\varphi')] \ Hypo.
\end{array}$$

Hence $\bar{v}\bar{\rho}_X[\varepsilon'(\varphi')] = \bar{\rho}_M \bar{w}[\varepsilon'(\varphi')] = \bar{\rho}_M \bar{w}[\delta'(\varphi')] = \bar{v}\bar{\rho}_X[\delta'(\varphi')]$.

Then $M \models^{a} \bar{\rho}_X q'$ □

We also have that a Glivenko's context induces an abstract Glivenko's theorem for $InsLAL$ and we present now and Proposition 4.11 above is part of it.

Theorem 4.12. *Let a, a' be* Lindenbaum *algebraizable logics, then each $\mathbb{G} = (h : a \to a', \rho)$ **Glivenko's context** induces a institutions morphism $InsLAL_a \to InsLAL_{a'}$. More precisely, fixing a choice of isomorphisms $\varepsilon : Obj(\mathbb{S}ig'_a) \to Mor(\mathbb{S}ig'_a)$, $a_1 \mapsto \varepsilon(a_1) = [e_1] : a \xrightarrow{\cong} a_1$, we define a institution morphism $M_{(G,\varepsilon_a)} : InsLAL_a \to InsLAL_{a'}$*[9]

Proof:

By simplicity, we will write (G, ε) for (G, ε_a). We will define

$$M_{(G,\varepsilon)} = \langle \Phi'^{(G,\varepsilon)}, \alpha'^{(G,\varepsilon)}, \beta'^{(G,\varepsilon)} \rangle$$

(this will depend only on the choice of isomorphisms in the *domain* institution $InsLAL_a$):

$\Phi'^{(G,\varepsilon)} : \mathbb{S}ig'_a \to \mathbb{S}ig'_{a'}$: it is defined in the same way as $\Phi^{(G,\varepsilon)} : \mathbb{S}ig_a \to \mathbb{S}ig_{a'}$was defined in 4.1.

Now the definition of $\alpha'^{(G,\varepsilon)}$.

Firstly for a we have $\alpha'^{(G,\varepsilon)}(a) : Sen_{a'} \circ \Phi'^{(G,\varepsilon)}(a) = Sen_{a'}(a') \to Sen_a(a)$ is the mapping $q'_{s'} \in Sen'_{a'}(a') \ \mapsto \ q_{\rho_* s'} \in Sen'_a(a)$, as defined in 4.10.

For an arbitrary $a_1 \in \mathbb{S}ig'_a$ we define $\alpha'^{(G,\varepsilon)}(a_1) : Sen_{a'}(a') \to Sen_a(a_1)$ by for $q' \in Sen_a(a')$,

$$\alpha'^{(G,\varepsilon)}(a')(q') = \bar{\rho}^{a_1}_X(q')$$

such that for each component of $\bar{\rho}^{a_1}_X q'$ is $([\check{e_1}\rho_X(\varepsilon'(\varphi_k))], [\check{e_1}\rho_X(\delta'(\varphi_k))])$ for $k = 1, ..., n-1$ and the last component is $([\check{e_1}\rho_X(\varepsilon'(\varphi))], [\check{e_1}\rho_X(\delta'(\varphi))])$. This defines a natural transformation. Indeed, first observe that the diagram below commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
F(\Sigma')/\Delta' & \xrightarrow{\bar{\rho}_X} & F(\Sigma)/\Delta & \xrightarrow{[\check{e}_1]} & F(\Sigma_1)/\Delta_1 \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle [\check{g}^g_\varepsilon]} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle [\check{g}_\varepsilon]} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle [\check{g}]} \\
F(\Sigma')/\Delta' & \xrightarrow[\bar{\rho}_X]{} & F(\Sigma)/\Delta & \xrightarrow[[\check{e}_2]]{} & F(\Sigma_2)/\Delta_2
\end{array}$$

then we have the following diagram commuting:

[9] Such induced morphisms are "isomorphic", for different choices of isomorphisms $\varepsilon^0, \varepsilon^1$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Sen_{a'}(\Phi'(a_1)) & \xrightarrow{\alpha'^{(G,\varepsilon)}(a_1)} & Sen_a(a_1) \\ {\scriptstyle [\check{g}^h_\varepsilon]}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle [\check{g}]} \\ Sen_{a'}(\Phi'(a_2)) & \xrightarrow[\alpha'^{(G,\varepsilon)}(a_2)]{} & Sen_a(a_2) \end{array}$$

Let now to define $\beta'^{(G,\varepsilon)}$. For a we define $\beta'^{(G,\varepsilon)} : Mod'_a \Rightarrow Mod'_{a'} \circ (\Phi'^{(G,\varepsilon)})^{op}$ is define as:
$\beta'^{(G,\varepsilon)}(a) = L_h : QV(a) = Mod'_a(a) \to Mod'_{a'}(\Phi'^{(G,\varepsilon)}(a)) = QV(a')$

The corresponding definition works for an arbitrary $a_1 \in \mathbb{S}ig_a$ because since a and a_1 are Q^c_f-isomorphic, we have by **??** that $QV(a)$ and $QV(a_1)$ are isomorphic. I.e., $\beta'^{(G,\varepsilon)}(a_1) = L_{h_1} : QV(a_1) = Mod'_a(a_1) \to Mod'_{a'}(\Phi'^{(G,\varepsilon)}(a_1)) = QV(a')$, where $(a \overset{[h]}{\to} a') = (a \overset{[e_1]}{\to} a_1 \overset{[h_1]}{\to} a')$. This defines a natural transformation. Indeed, notice that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} QV(a_1) & \xleftarrow{h_1^\star\uparrow} & QV(a') \\ {\scriptstyle g^\star\uparrow}\uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle (g^h_\varepsilon)^\star\uparrow} \\ QV(a_2) & \xleftarrow[h_2^\star\uparrow]{} & QV(a') \end{array}$$

And then we have the following diagram commuting:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} QV(a_1) & \xrightarrow{\beta_{a_1}} & QV(a') \\ {\scriptstyle g^\star\uparrow}\uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle (g^h_\varepsilon)^\star\uparrow} \\ QV(a_2) & \xrightarrow[\beta_{a_2}]{} & QV(a') \end{array}$$

On the compatibility condition. First for the logic a we must guarantee that $M \models^a \bar{\rho}q' \Leftrightarrow L_h M \models^{a'} q'$: this is the content of Proposition 4.11.

For an arbitrary logic $a_1 \in \mathbb{S}ig'_a$ we must to prove that for any $M_1 \in QV(a_1)$ and $q_{s'} \in Sen(a')$:

$$\beta'^{(G,\varepsilon)}(a_1)(M_1) \models^{a'} q_{s'} \Leftrightarrow M_1 \models^{a_1} \alpha'(G,\varepsilon)(a_1)(q_{s'})$$

in other notation

$$L_{h_1}(M_1) \models^{a'} q_{s'} \Leftrightarrow M_1 \models^{a_1} \bar{\rho}^{a_1}_X(q_{s'}).$$

In fact, since $[\Phi(e_1)]$ is an isomorphism, we have that $\Phi(e_1)^\star\uparrow$ is an isomorphism. Therefore:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} L_{h_1}(M_1) \models^{a'} q_{s'} & \Leftrightarrow & \Phi(e_1)^\star L_{h_1}(M_1) \models q_{(\Phi(e_1)^+)^{-1}(s_1)} \\ & \Leftrightarrow & L_h(e_1^\star(M_1)) \models q_{(\Phi(e_1)^+)^{-1}(s_1)} \\ & \Leftrightarrow & e_1^\star(M_1) \models \alpha'^{(G,\varepsilon)}(a)(q_{(\Phi(e_1)^+)^{-1}(s_1)}) \\ & \Leftrightarrow & M_1 \models^{a_1} e_1^+\alpha'^{(G,\varepsilon)}(a)(q_{(\Phi(e_1)^+)^{-1}(s_1)}) \\ & \Leftrightarrow & M_1 \models^{a_1} \alpha_{a_1}(q_{s'}). \end{array}$$

□

Corollary 4.13. *For each Glivenko's context $\mathbb{G} = (h : a \to a', \bar{\rho})$, is associated an abstract Glivenko's theorem between a and a' i.e; given $\Gamma' \cup \{\varphi'\} \subseteq F'(X)$ then*

$$\rho_X[\Gamma'] \vdash \rho_X(\varphi') \Leftrightarrow \Gamma' \vdash' \varphi'$$

Proof:

Firstly, remark that it is enough consider Γ finite. Because a and a' are algebraizable logics, and h preserves algebraizing pairs, it is enough to show that

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \{\varepsilon(\rho_X(\psi')) \approx \delta(\rho_X(\psi')), \psi' \in \Gamma'\} & \models_{QV(a)} & \varepsilon(\rho_X(\varphi')) \approx \delta(\rho_X(\varphi')) \\ & \Updownarrow & \\ \{\varepsilon'(\psi') \approx \ \delta'(\psi'), \psi' \in \Gamma'\} & \models_{QV(a')} & \varepsilon'(\varphi') \approx \delta'(\varphi') \end{array}$$

Consider $\Gamma = \{\psi_0, ..., \psi_{n-1}\}$, $s' = (\psi'_0/\Delta', ..., \psi'_{n-1}/\Delta', \varphi'/\Delta')$. Then:
(i) $q' = q'_{s'}$ is determined by any of its elements

$$(([\varepsilon'(\psi'_0)], [\delta'(\psi'_0)]), ..., ([\varepsilon'(\psi'_{n-1})], [\delta'(\psi'_{n-1})])([\varepsilon'(\varphi')], [\delta'(\varphi)]));$$

(ii) $\alpha(a)(q') = q_{\rho_* s'}$ is determined by any of its elements

$$(([\varepsilon(\rho_X(\psi'_0))], [\delta(\rho_X(\psi'_0))]), ..., ([\varepsilon(\rho_X(\psi'_{n-1}))], [\delta(\rho_X(\psi'_{n-1}))])([\varepsilon(\rho_X(\varphi'))], [\delta(\rho_X(\varphi))]))$$

Thus we have to show:

$$(\forall M \in QV(a), M \models^a \bar{\rho}_X q') \ \Leftrightarrow \ (\forall M' \in QV(a') M' \models^{a'} q')$$

By Remark 4.3.(c) given $M' \in QV(a')$ there is $M \in QV(a)$ such that $L_h(M) \cong M'$.

With this, it is enough to show that for every $M \in QV(a)$,

$$M \models^a \bar{\rho}_X q' \Leftrightarrow L_h M \models^{a'} q'$$

And this last equivalence is established the Proposition 4.11 above.

□

Remark 4.14. *Since the CPC and IPC are Lindenbaum algebraizable logic, one can see that the example 4.8 follows a consequence of the abstract Glivenko's theorem fo InsLAL as well as the abstract Glivenko's theorem for InsAL.*

Remark 4.15. *A simple analysis of the derivations of "logical" forms of Glivenko's Theorem (Corolaries 4.7 and 4.13) from the corresponding "instituitional" form of Glivenko's Theorem (Theorems 4.6 and 4.12), i.e. the existence of certain (induced) morphisms of institutions make clear that the latter form is stronger than the former one. We can interpret this as another evidence*[10] *of the (virtually unexplored) relevance of institution theory in propositional logic.*

5 Category of algebraizable logics with Glivenko's morphisms

In this section we present that the definition of Glivenko's context given in 4.2 offer more information about the relationship of logics, it give us a category of algebraizable logics such that the morphisms are Glivenko's contexts, i.e., the objects are the same of in $\mathcal{A}_f$ and given a and a' algebraizable logics, a Glivenko's morphism is a Glivenko's context $(h : a \to a', \rho)$. Denote by $G\mathcal{A}_f$ this category.

Theorem 5.1. *$G\mathcal{A}_f$ is a category*

Proof:

In this category the composition is the usual, i.e., given $G = (h : a \to a', \rho)$ and $G' = (h' : a' \to a'', \rho')$, we have that $G' \circ G = (h' \circ h : a \to a'', \rho' \bullet \rho)$ where $(\rho' \bullet \rho)_M = \rho_M \circ \rho'_{L_h M}$ (this is natural in $M \in QV(a)$). In order to prove that the composition is well defined, we must to prove that $\rho' \circ \rho$ a section for the unit of the adjunction $L_{h' \circ h} \dashv (h' \circ h)^*$. The composition of adjunctions is a adjunction and $\partial' \circ \partial$ is its the unit. Remember that $L_{h' \circ h} = L_{h'} \circ L_h$ (an strict

[10] Beside the nice approach of the identity problem for (algebraizable) propositional logics: "a logic is an institution, thus manifested through many signatures".

equality, with the choice of adjoints given in Chapter 2, as quotients) and $(h' \circ h)^* = h^* \circ h'^*$. Then we have that $M \overset{(\partial' \circ \partial)_M}{\longrightarrow} h^*h'^*L_{h'}L_hM = M \overset{h^*(\partial'_{L_hM}) \circ \partial_M}{\longrightarrow} h^*h'^*L_{h'}L_hM = M \overset{\partial'_{L_hM} \circ \partial_M}{\longrightarrow} h^*h'^*L_{h'}L_hM$. Then we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl}(\partial' \circ \partial)_M \circ (\rho' \bullet \rho)_M & = & (\partial'_{L_hM} \circ \partial_M) \circ (\rho_M \circ \rho'_{L_hM}) \\ & = & \partial'_{L_hM} \circ (\partial_M \circ \rho_M) \circ \rho'_{L_hM} \\ & = & \partial'_{L_hM} \circ \rho'_{L_hM} \\ & = & Id_{L_hM}.\end{array}$$

Thus $(\rho' \bullet \rho)_M$ is a section for $(\partial' \circ \partial)_M$ for all $M \in \Sigma - Str$. Clearly there is the identity Glivenko's context for an algebraizable logic a given by $(Id_a : a \to a, \rho = (Id_M)_{M \in \Sigma - Str})$. To prove the associativity let $G = (h : a \to a', \rho)$, $G' = (h' : a' \to a'', \rho')$ and $G'' = (h'' : a'' \to a''', \rho'')$ be Glivenko's morphisms (Glivenko's context). Since $\mathcal{A}_f$ is a category we have that $h'' \circ (h' \circ h) = (h'' \circ h') \circ h$. Remains to prove that $\rho'' \bullet (\rho' \bullet \rho) = (\rho'' \bullet \rho') \bullet \rho$. Let $M \in \Sigma - Str$, then

$$\begin{array}{rcl}(\rho'' \bullet (\rho' \bullet \rho))_M & = & (\rho' \bullet \rho)_M \circ \rho''_{L_{h' \circ h}M} \\ & = & (\rho_M \circ \rho'_{L_hM}) \circ \rho''_{L_{h' \circ h}M} \\ & = & (\rho_M \circ \rho'_{L_hM}) \circ \rho''_{L_{h'} \circ L_hM} \\ & = & \rho_M \circ (\rho'_{L_hM} \circ \rho''_{L_{h'}L_h}M) \\ & = & \rho_M \circ (\rho'' \bullet \rho')_{L_hM} \\ & = & ((\rho'' \bullet \rho') \bullet \rho)_M\end{array}$$

Therefore $G\mathcal{A}_f$ is a category □

The theorems 4.6 and 4.12 say that for any Glivenko's context there is a institution morphism associated, more precisely, given a Glivenko's context $(h : a \to a', \rho)$ and a choice of isomorphisms $\varepsilon_a : Obj(\mathbb{S}ig_a) \to \bigcup_{a_1 \in Obj(\mathbb{S}ig_a)} Hom_{\mathbb{S}ig_a}(a, a_1)$, we have a institution morphism $\langle \Phi_{G,\varepsilon}, \alpha_{G,\varepsilon}, \beta_{G,\varepsilon} \rangle$. Notice that there are more than one possible choice for the family $(\varepsilon_a)_{a \in |\mathcal{A}_f|}$, but the application below still define a functor.

$$\begin{array}{rrcl}\mathcal{G}_\varepsilon : & G\mathcal{A}_f & \to & \mathbf{Inst} \\ & a & & InsAL_a \\ & (h, \rho)\downarrow & \mapsto & \downarrow \langle \Phi_{(G,\varepsilon)}, \alpha_{(G,\varepsilon)}, \beta_{(G,\varepsilon)} \rangle \\ & a' & & InsAL_{a'}\end{array}$$

Another natural functor that arise is $\mathcal{U} : G\mathcal{A}_f \to \mathcal{A}_f$ such that $\mathcal{U}((h : a \to a', \rho)) = (h : a \to a')$ for any Glivenko's context $(h : a \to a', \rho)$.

Naturally, we can defined in analogous way a (full) subcategory $G\mathcal{A}_f^c \subseteq G\mathcal{A}_f$, with objects being the Lindenbaum algebraizable logics and, for each choice of isomorphisms $(\varepsilon_a)_{a \in |\mathbb{S}ig'_a|}$, we get a functor:

$$\begin{array}{rrcl}\mathcal{G}^c_\varepsilon : & G\mathcal{A}_f^c & \to & \mathbf{Inst} \\ & a & & InsLAL_a \\ & (h, \rho)\downarrow & \mapsto & \downarrow \langle \Phi'_{(G,\varepsilon)}, \alpha'_{(G,\varepsilon)}, \beta'_{(G,\varepsilon)} \rangle \\ & a' & & InsLAL_{a'}\end{array}$$

Once established those relations we have the following diagram that represents the relation among the categories studied in this thesis.



On the other hand,we saw that the categories $\mathcal{L}_s$ and $\mathcal{L}_f$ determines institutions and π-institutions. Having in mind the adjunctions $\mathcal{L}_s \rightleftarrows \mathcal{L}_f \rightleftarrows \mathcal{F}_i$, we believe that is possible establish a (extended) direct relation from $\mathcal{F}i$ to **Inst** and $\Pi - \mathbf{Inst}$. This is part of the future works on this paper.

References

[**AFLM**] P. Arndt, R. A. Freire, O. O. Luciano, H. L. Mariano, *A global glance on categories in Logic*, Logica Universalis **1** (2007), 3-39.

[**BCC1**] J. Bueno, M.E. Coniglio, W.A. Carnielli, *Finite algebraizability via possible-translations semantics*, Proceedings of CombLog 04 - Workshop on Combination of Logics: Theory and Applications, (editors: W.A. Carnielli, F.M. Dionísio e P. Mateus), (2004), 79-86.

[**BCC**] J. Bueno-Soler, M.E. Coniglio, W.A. Carnielli, *Possible-Translations Algebraizability*, Paraconsistency with no Frontiers (2006) (editors: J.-Y. Beziau, W. Carnielli), North-Holland.

[**BP**] W. J. Blok, D. Pigozzi, **Algebraizable logics**, Memoirs of the AMS **396**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, USA, 1989.

[**Cze**] J. Czelakowski, **Protoalgebraic logic**, Trends in Logic, Studia Logica Library, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2001.

[**CG**] C. Caleiro, R. Gonçalves, *Equipollent logical systems*, **Logica Universalis: Towards a General Theory of Logic** (Editor J.-Y. Beziau) (2007), 97-110.

[**FC**] V. L. Fernández, M. E. Coniglio, *Fibring algebraizable consequence systems*, Proc. of CombLog 04 - Workshop on Combination of Logics: Theory and Applications, (eds: W.A. Carnielli, F.M. Dionísio and P. Mateus), (2004), 93-98.

[**FS**] J. Fiadeiro, A. Sernadas, 'Structuring Theories on Consequence', in D. Sanella and A. Tarlecki, editors, *Recent Trnds in Data Type Specification*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Vol 332, Springer-Verlag, New York 1988, pp. 44-72

[**GB**] J. A. Goguen and R. M. Burstall. *Institutions: abstract model theory for specification and programming*, Journal of the ACM (JACM), **39(1)** (1992), 95–146.

[**JKE**] A. Jánossy, *Á*. Kurucz, *Á*. E. Eiben, *Combining Algebrizable Logics*, Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic **37(2)**, (1996), 366-381, Springer.

[**MaMe**] H. L. Mariano, C. A. Mendes, *Towards a good notion of categories of logics*, 5^o-TACL, Marseilles, july 2011, 207-210. arXiv preprint, http://arxiv.org/abs/1404.3780, 2014

[**MaPi1**] H. Mariano and D. Pinto. *Algebraizable Logics and a functorial encoding of its morphisms*. Accepted to publication in IGPL. arXiv preprint, http://arxiv.org/abs/1410.8083, 2014

[**MaPi2**] H. Mariano and D. Pinto. *Representation theory of logics: a categorial approach*. arXiv preprint, http://arxiv.org/abs/1405.2429, 2014

[**Tor**] A. Torrens. *An Approach to Glivenko's theorem in Algebraizable Logics*. Studia Logica, 2008, 349-383.

[**Vou**] G. Voutsadakis *Categorical Abstract Algebraic Logic: Algebraizable Institutions*. Applied Categorical Structures, 2002, 531-568.